

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE G. RADHA RANI**

**IA No. 1 OF 2024
IN
SA NO: 403 OF 2024**

Between:

Musti Laxmaiah, S/o Balaiah, age 65 years, Occ.Agril, R/o Rarnanthapur village, Kothakota mandal, Wanaparthi District, Telangana.

**...Appellant/Respondent No.6/Defendant No.6
(Petitioner in SA 403 OF 2024
on the file of High Court)**

AND

1. M. Venkateshwaramma, W/o Rajashekar, age 30 years, Occ.Agril and Household, R/o Ramanthapuram village, Kothakota mandal. Wanaparthi District, Telangana.
2. Raja Laxmi, D/o Bheemaiah, age 21 years, Occ. Student.
3. Pavani, D/o Bheemaiah, age 20 years,
4. Shiva Prasad, S/o Bheemaiah age 19 yrs, Respondent Nos.2 to 4 are R/o Ramanthapur village of Kothakota mandal. Wanaparthi District, Telangana.

Respondents/Appellants/Plaintiffs

5. Ramulamma, W/o Buchanna, age 52 years, Occ. Agril.
6. Padma, W/o Tirupathaiah, age 28 years, Occ. Agril, Both R/o Sankireddypally village, Kothakota mandal, Wanaparthi District, Telangana.
7. M.Laxmi, W/o Muthyala Narender, age 24 years, R/o Arepally village of Atmakur mandal.
8. Mahesh, S/o Buchanna, age 20 years, Occ. Agril, Ramanthapur village. Wanaparthi District, Telangana.
9. L.Srinivas Reddy, S/o Pedda Kondanna, age 30 years. Occ. Agril, R/o Ramanthapur village, Kothakota mandal, Wanaparthi District, Telangana.

...Respondents/Respondent Nos. 1 to 5/ Defendant Nos. 1 to 5

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Judgment and Decree dated 30.04.2024 passed in A S.No.11 of 2016 on the file of Principal District Judge, Wanaparthi, Pending disposal of SA No. 403 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The Appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the appeal and the grounds filed in support thereof and the order of the high court dated 19.09.2024 and upon

hearing the arguments of SRI KONDAPARTHY KIRAN KUMAR Counsel for the Petitioner, The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the appellant filed memo in proof of service of notice on respondents stating that notices were served on respondent Nos.1 to 6, 8 and 9, and notice on respondent No.7 was returned due to insufficient address and filed the postal track record.

Hence, learned counsel for the appellant is permitted to serve notice on the respondent No.7 on ascertaining her correct address and also through the counsel representing respondent No.7 before the other courts.

Learned counsel for the appellant further reported that there is a connected matter to this appeal in SA No.348 of 2024.

Hence, Registry is directed to post this appeal along with the connected appeal in SA No.348 of 2024 on 03.12.2024.

The interim order granted earlier shall stand extended till then.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/-N. SRIHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District Judge, Wanaparthy
2. The Senior Civil Judge, Wanaparthy
3. One CC to SRI. KONDAPARTHY KIRAN KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

Dr.GRR, J

DATED:22.10.2024

NOTE: POST THIS MATTER ON 20.11.2024.

ORDER

**IA No. 1 OF 2024
IN
SA NO: 403 OF 2024**

EXTENSION OF STAY

