

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

**:PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA**

**SA NOs: 195 of 2021 & 389 of 2019**

**S.A. No. 195 of 2021**

**Between:**

1. Gutha Shankar Reddy, S/o Chenna Reddy
2. Smt. Gutha Susheela, W/o Shankar Reddy

**Appellants/Respondent Nos. 3 & 4/  
Defendant Nos. 3 & 4**

**AND**

1. Kardhuri Narsamma, W/o Papi Reddy, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Veliminedy village,  
Chityala Mandal, Nalgonda District.

**Respondent/Appellant/Plaintiff**

2. Gaddam Ram Reddy, S/o Papi Reddy, R/o Veliminedu (v), Chityala Mandal,  
Nalgonda District
3. Thotapally Chandramma, W/o Chandra Reddy, Occ. Household, R/o Sumanpuram  
village, Maheshwaram Mandal

**Respondents/Respondents/Defendant Nos. 1 & 2**

Second Appeal under Section 100 CPC against, the present Second Appeal is being preferred aggrieved by the Judgment and decree passed by the learned III Addl. District and Sessions Judge (I Fast Track Court) Nalgonda dated 8/11/2017 in AS No. 83 of 2005 allowing the appeal filed by the Respondent No. 1/Plaintiff reversing the Judgment and decree passed by the learned Junior Civil Judge, Ramannapet dated 19/10/2005 in O.S.No. 126 of 2000.

**IA NO: 1 OF 2022**

Petition under Order 41 Rule 27 of CPC, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including passing of final decree in OS No. 126 of 2000 on the file of the Junior Civil Judge. Ramannapet which was decreed by the learned III Additional District and Sessions Judge (I Fast Track Court) Nalgonda by judgment and decree dated 8-11-2017 in AS No. 83 of 2005, Pending disposal of SA 195 of 2021, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Appeal and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 31.08.2023, 14.09.2023, 20.10.2023,

21.11.2023, 01.12.2023, 19.12.2023, 29.12.2023, 29.01.2024, 26.03.2024, 22.04.2024 & 24.07.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri N. ASHOK KUMAR, Advocate for the Appellants, Sri N. NAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.1, and Sri Raparthi Kumar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**S.A. No. 389 of 2019**

**Between:**

Gaddam Ram Reddy, S/o Papi Reddy

**Appellant/Respondent No.1/  
Defendant No. 1**

**AND**

1. Karduri Narsamma, W/o Papi Reddy, aged 67 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Veliminedu village, Chityala Mandal, Nalgonda District

**Respondents/Appellant/Plaintiff**

2. Thotapally Chandramma, W/o Chandra Reddy, aged 82 years, Occ. Household, R/o Sumanapuram, Maheshwaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
3. Gutha Shankar Reddy, S/o Chenna Reddy, aged 73 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Urumadla village of Chityal Mandal, Nalgonda District.
4. Smt. Gutha Susheela, W/o Shankar Reddy, aged 65 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o Urumadla village. Chityal Mandal, Nalgonda District

**Respondents/Appellant/Plaintiff**

Second Appeal under Section 100 CPC against, the present Second Appeal is being preferred aggrieved by the Judgment and decree passed by the learned III Addl. District and Sessions Judge (I Fast Track Court) Nalgonda dated 8/11/2017 in AS No. 83 of 2005 allowing the appeal filed by the Respondent No. 1/Plaintiff reversing the Judgment and decree passed by the learned Junior Civil Judge, Ramannapet dated 19/10/2005 in O.S.No. 126 of 2000.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Appeal and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 31.08.2023, 14.09.2023, 20.10.2023, 21.11.2023, 01.12.2023, 19.12.2023, 29.12.2023, 29.01.2024, 26.03.2024, 22.04.2024 & 24.07.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri RAPARTHI KUMAR, Advocate for the Appellant, Sri N. NAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent NO.1, Sri N. Ashok Kumar, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4, the Court made the following.

**ORDER**

**Both the counsel present.**

**Learned counsel for the appellant sought for adjournment and it was opposed by learned counsel for respondents on the ground that they are trying to protract the litigation.**

List on 12.09.2024.

Interim Order granted earlier stands extended till then.

If learned counsel for the appellant is not ready with the matter by next date of hearing, interim orders will be vacated on that day.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- K. AMMAJI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**SECTION OFFICER**

**To,**

1. The III Addl. District and Sessions Judge (I Fast Track Court) Nalgonda
2. One CC to Sri. N. ASHOK KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri RAPARTHI KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

**HIGH COURT**

**PSS, J**

**DATED: 22.08.2024.**

**NOTE: LIST ON 12.09.2024.**

**ORDER**

**SA Nos: 195 of 2021 & 389 of 2019**

**EXTENSION OF INTERIM DIRECTION**

