

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NO: 17329 OF 2018

Between:

S.Pandu, S/o: Basappa, age.26 years, Occ. Electrician R/o H.No.9-1-1/B/86,
Defence Colony, Hyderabad

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The state of Telengana, Represented by Principal Secretary to Home
Department of Telengana State at Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The Deputy Commissioner of Police, CYBERABAD Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant commissioner of Police, CYBERABAD Hyderabad.
4. The Station House Officer, RAJENDRA NAGAR Police Station CYBERABAD
Hyderabad.
5. Sri M.Mallekarjun, Sub - inspector RAJENDRA NAGAR Police Station
CYBERABAD Hyderabad.
6. K.Yadi Reddy, S/o. Late Maisa Reddy, Aged about 61 years, Occ. Agriculture,
7. K.Mahender Reddy, S/o. K.Yadi Reddy, Aged about 35 years,
Occ. Pvt.Employee, are R/o. H.No. 4-1-56, Attapur Village, Rajendranagar
Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an Order, direction or Writ more particularly one in nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.5 not taking any action against the Respondent No.6 and 7 that the petitioner lodged the complaints against the respondent No.6 and 7 and others and the Respondent No.5 registered two FIRS, FIR No.412 of 2018 and FIR NO.669 OF 2018 against the Respondent No.6 and 7 and others and harassing the petitioner as arbitrary

illegally unlawful, unreaasonable, unjust unwarranted and violative of fundamental rights guaranteed under article 19 and 21 of the constitution of India.

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.5 to take action against the Respondent No. 6 & 7 accordance with law, the Respondent No.6 & 7 interfere construction work at Plot bearing No. 22/Part (Northern Part), in Sy.No's. 27, 28, 29 & 31-Part total admeasuring 146.66 Sq.Yards or equivalent to 122.6 Sq.Nitrs., Situated at Attapur Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, GHMC Rajendranagar Circle, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana State that they obtained ad-interim injunction against the Respondent No.6 & 7 in I.A NO.76/2018 IN O.S NO.118 OF 2018 on the file of Hon'ble IX ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AT L.B.NAGAR pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI G. RAJESHWAR RAO(NONE APPEARED)

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: SRI D. PRADEEP, AGP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.5 to 7:-----

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION No. 17329 OF 2018

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

"...to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.5 not taking any action against the Respondent No.6 and 7 that the petitioner lodged the complaints against the respondent No.6 and 7 and others and the Respondent No.5 registered two FIRS, FIR No.412 of 2018 and FIR No.669 of 2018 against the Respondent No.6 and 7 and others and harassing the petitioner as arbitrary illegally unlawful, unreasonable, unjust unwarranted and violative of fundamental rights guaranteed under article 19 and 21 of the constitution of India and to pass..."

2. None for the petitioner.
3. Heard Mr.D.Pradeep, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondents No.1 to 4.
4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home submits that both the crimes, i.e., FIR Nos.412 and 669 of 2018, were duly investigated and, upon filing of the charge sheet, C.C.Nos. 2003 and 2004 of 2018 were registered on the file of the learned Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Rajendranagar. However, during the pendency of the proceedings, the parties in both matters entered into a settlement before the Lok Adalat, and the Court concerned

compounded the offences against the accused therein. Thus, nothing further remains for determination.

5. I have perused the material available on record.

6. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.5 failed to take action against respondents No.6 and 7 in the pending crimes. However, the developments reported by the learned Assistant Government Pleader indicate that the matters were settled before the Lok Adalat and the offences were compounded. In this factual context, it is evident that nothing further remains for consideration in this matter.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/-M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to Sri G. Rajeshwar Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies


TJ
SA



HIGH COURT
DATED:10/11/2025

ORDER

WP.No.17329 of 2018



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION AS
INFRACTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS

6 copies
PVL
16/03/2026