

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX
:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL
WP NO: 17745 OF 2026

Between

1. Chinthala Kishore,, S/o. Late Chinthala Gnanamma
2. Chinthala Kiran Kumar,, S/o. Late Chinthala Gnanamma
3. Chinthala David,, S/o. Late Chinthala Gnanamma

Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Agricultural and Cooperation Dept., Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Finance and Planning Dept., Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. Professor Jaya Shankar Telangana Agricultural University,, Rajendranagar, Rep. by its Registrar, Hyderabad.
4. Senior Scientist (Breeding) and Head,, Agricultural Research Station, Madira, Khammam District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate M/s. P V RAMANA presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in not regularizing the services of the petitioners' mother Chinthala Gnanamma, in spite of rendering more than 3 decades of service, after completion of 10 years from the date of her initial appointment in the last grade service, in spite of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported in 2006 (4) SCC 1 (CB), (State of Karnataka Vs. Umadevi) and in not fixing the regular Pay as per P.R.Cs., implemented by the Universities from time to time, in respect of their regular staff and in not counting the entire service from the date of her initial appointment to the date of her death/regularization, for the purpose of pension and retiral benefits as illegal, arbitrary, violates Articles 14, 16, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and in violation of the judgments rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, reported in 2013 (14) SCC 65 (Nihal Singh Vs. State of Punjab), AIR 2025 SC 296 (Jago Vs.

Union of India), 2025 Law Suit SC 1122, (Dharam Singh Vs. State of U.P), (2020 (4) ALD 379(TS), dated 27-04-2020, (2025 Live Law (SC) 827) between Shah Samir Bharatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat, dated 22-08-2025 and (2026 Live Law, (SC) 95 in between Bhola Nath Vs. State of Jharkhand, dated 30-01-2026 and consequentially declare that the petitioners' mother is entitled for regularization of her services from the date of completion of 10 years with all fixation of Pays, counting the service prior to the date of regularization for the purpose of Pay and Pension with all Revision of Pay Scales in the suitable post and with all consequential benefits including Compassionate Appointment in the interest of justice

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of M/s. P V RAMANA Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4, herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Registrar, Professor Jaya Shankar Telangana Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad.
2. Senior Scientist (Breeding) and Head, Agricultural Research Station, Madira, Khammam District.

are directed to show cause on or before 20.07.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Notice before admission.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-II takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives further notice. He seeks time to file counter affidavit.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-III takes notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and waives further notice. He seeks time to file counter affidavit.

Personal notice to respondent Nos.3 and 4 is permitted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the subject matter in this Writ Petition falls within the purview of the decisions rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of Karnataka Vs. Umadevi¹, Nihal Singh Vs. State of Punjab², Jago Vs. Union of India³. Relying on the same, he seeks to pass appropriate orders.

¹ 2004 (4) SCC 1 (CB)

List on 20.07.2026.

SD/- L. VIJAYA LAKSHMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Registrar, Professor Jaya Shankar Telangana Agricultural University,, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad.
2. Senior Scientist (Breeding) and Head, Agricultural Research Station, Madira, Khammam District.[RR1 & 2 by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
3. One CC to M/s. P V RAMANA Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES II, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES III, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVV, J

DATED: 22-06-2026

LIST ON: 20.07.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.17745 of 2026

