

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD**  
**MAIN CASE NO: AS.Nos.32 of 2016, 1786 of 2018, 253 of 2021**  
**and 155 of 2022**

**PROCEEDING SHEET**

<b>SL. NO</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER</b>	<b>OFFICE NOTE</b>
29.	23.09.2024	<p><b><u>MB,J &amp; NBK,J</u></b></p> <p><i>Sri Md.Nawaz Hyder Ali, learned counsel representing Pillix Law Firm in A.S.No.32 of 2016, learned counsel for the appellant.</i></p> <p><i>Sri V.Ravinder Rao, learned Senior Counsel representing Sri P.Madhusudhan Reddy, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2.</i></p> <p>We have heard learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondents/plaintiffs.</p> <p>Learned Senior Counsel has addressed us on several points including the conduct of the appellant/defendant No.2 in A.S.No.32 of 2016.</p> <p>We should clarify that we have been hearing counsel on A.S.No.32 of 2016 on the previous occasions.</p> <p>It is important to record the issue raised by Senior Counsel for the respondents that the proceeding sheets reflect that on 10.07.2024, the Court proposed to deal with the condone delay petitions in A.S.No.2089 of 2018 and A.S.No.32 of 2016. On the returnable date i.e., 25.07.2024, the order records that A.S.No.32 of 2016 will be listed on 02.08.2024 for hearing and the other 3 Appeals namely A.S.No.1786 of 2018, A.S.No.253 of 2021 and A.S.No.155 of 2022 will be heard after hearing A.S.No.32 of 2016.</p> <p>Senior Counsel reminds us that on 25.07.2024, we proceeded to dismiss A.S.No.2089 of 2018, which was tagged with the present Appeals, for default since the appellant No.1 was not represented on that date. Counsel submits that he was present in the Court and</p>	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<p>heard the order dismissing A.S.No.2089 of 2018 for default.</p> <p>However, for some strange reason, the proceeding sheet dated 25.07.2024 does not contain the said recording nor do we find a separate proceeding sheet for A.S.No.2089 of 2018 recording the dismissal of the Appeal for default. Even more strange is that the website of this Court reflects that A.S.No.2089 of 2018 is pending as on date.</p> <p>The Registry will explain the lapse as stated above.</p> <p>It must also be stated that we remember that we dictated an order dismissing A.S.No.2089 of 2018 for default on 25.07.2024. Therefore, for the sake of abundant caution, we reiterate that A.S.No.2089 of 2018 had been dismissed for default by an order dated 25.07.2024.</p> <p>The Registry shall take expeditious steps to bring this on record and correct the website if any different result is being displayed.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the appellant No.2 has handed up a compilation of judgments.</p> <p>We make it clear that we will consider only those judgments, which were cited during the course of hearing.</p> <p>List these matters on 01.10.2024 under the heading 'For Judgment'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <hr style="width: 100px; margin: 0 auto;"/> <b>MB,J</b>  <hr style="width: 100px; margin: 0 auto;"/> <b>NBK,J</b> </p> <p><i>va</i></p>	