

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
MONDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO

And

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

IA No. 1 OF 2019

IN

CMA NO: 894 OF 2019

Between:

1. M/s Sai Balaji Developers, represented by Akula Ravi S/o late Akula Venkaiah R/o 3-240, Padmavathi Colony, Shadnagar, Ranga Reddy district.
2. S.Sumanth Kumar, s/o Late Suguna Chary, R/o H.No. 4-7-244/6, Arunodaya Nagar, Raghavendra Nagar, Hayatnagar, Ranga Reddy District.
3. Smt.Senapahi Sudha Rani, w/o S.Sumanth, R/o H.No.4-7-244/6, Arunodaya Nagar, Raghavendra Nagar, Hayatnagar, Ranga Reddy district. Both appellants 2 and 3 are represented by their General Power of Attorney Sri Srungaram Tiruvengala Chary s/o S.Srinivasa Chary Aged 63 yrs, H.No.15-37, P86T Colony, Kodandaram Nagar, Disiknagar, Ranga Reddy district, Telangana state

...Petitioners

(Appellants in CMA 894 OF 2019
on the file of High Court)

AND

Attili Ravindar Reddy, S/o Narsimha Reddy, Occ Poultry business, R/o.H.No.18-403/6, New City Colony, Pargi Road, Behind Pochamma Temple, Shadnagar, Faroognagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy district

...Respondent

(Respondent in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI V HANUMANTH RAO

Counsel for the Respondent : SRI M ACHUTA REDDY

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend order dated 02-08-2019 in I.A.548/2018 in O.S 104/2018 passed by the Judge, Family Court cum VIII Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mahabubnagar Pending disposal of CMA No. 894 of 2019, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER

Heard Sri V.Hanumanth Rao, Counsel for the petitioners/appellants and Sri Saroj Reddy, counsel for respondent.

In view of the recital contained in clause(6) in Ex.P6 that the respondent had delivered possession of the suit schedule property to the 1st appellant, *prima facie*, the Court below could not have held that the respondent was still in possession of the suit schedule property and granted *ad-interim* injunction.

Therefore, there shall be interim suspension as prayed for.


SD/- **K ONESIM**
Assistant Registrar

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judge, Family Court cum VIII Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mahabubnagar
2. Attili Ravindar Reddy, S/o Narsimha Reddy, Occ Poultry business, R/o.H.No.18-403/6, New City Colony, Pargi Road, Behind Pochamma Temple, Shadnagar, Faroognagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy district (By RPAD)
3. One CC to Sri V Hanumanth Rao Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy



HIGH COURT

**MSRJ
&
TVKJ**

DATED:23/08/2021

ORDER

**IA NO.1 OF 2019
IN
CMA.No.894 of 2019**

INTERIM SUSPENSION

