



2026:TSHC:8246

[ 3510 ]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T. MADHAVI DEVI  
AND  
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE G.M. MOHIUDDIN**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 16639 OF 2026**

**Between:**

M/s. Digital Print Solutions, H.No 5-9-250/258, Room No. 05 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Unity House, Abids, Hyderabad - 500001 GST.No. 36AAWFD1471G127, IEC/PAN; AAWFD1471G, Rep by Managing Partner P. Laxminarayan Rao S/o Late Shivaji Rao

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. The Commissioner of Customs (Hyderabad II) Imports, GST Bhavan, Opp: L.B. Stadium Basheer Bagh, Hyderabad 500004
2. The Joint Commissioner, of Customs (Imports) Opp L.B. stadium, GST Bhavan Basheerbagh, Hyderabad 500004
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Customs, O/o. Dy Commissioner of Customs, ICD, Thimmapur, Ranga Reddy-509 325
4. The Superintendent of Customs, O/o. Dy Commissioner of Customs ICD Thimmapur Ranga Reddy-509 325

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the Respondent No1 to 4 in seizing the various models of Second hand Highly Specialized Equipment Digital Multifunction Print Copying & Scanning Machines imported by the Petitioner vide seizure Memo dated 08052026 and refusal to allow the clearance of the subject goods covered by vide Bill of Entries No.8985220 dated 30.04.2026 Total Units 212 payment of applicable total duties on the declared value as arbitrary unreasonable contrary to the provisions of the Customs Act 1962 the Import Export Policy 2023 Meity Notification S.O. No. 2844(E) dated 01.07.2021 by duly setting aside the seizure memo and



2026:TSHC:8246

panchanama and Supardnama dt:08.05.2026 of 4th respondent is in violation of petitioners fundamental rights guaranteed by Article 14, 19(1)(g) and 300A of the Constitution of India and to direct the Respondent to release the subject goods forthwith on applicable duty by granting waiver of demurrage charges.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 1 to 4 respondent to provisionally release various models of Second-hand Highly Specialized Equipment — Digital Multifunction Print Copying & Scanning Machines imported by the Petitioner and which have been submitted for clearance before the Respondents 1 to 4 vide Bill of Entries No.8985220 dated 30.04.2026 Total Units 212 on payment of applicable duty.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI MOHD. ANWAR REPRESENTING FOR  
SRI G. MALLA REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondents: SRI DOMINIC FERNANDES (SR. SC FOR CBIC)**

**The Court made the following: ORDER**



2026:TSHC:8246

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MADHAVI DEVI  
AND**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.M.MOHIUDDIN**

**WRIT PETITION No.16639 OF 2026**

**DATE : 14.05.2026**

**Between:**

M/s.Digital Print Solutions

**...Petitioner**

**AND**

The Commissioner of Customs and 3 others

**...Respondents**

**ORDER:**

Heard Mr.Mohd.Anwar Ali, learned counsel representing Mr.G.Malla Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Dominic Fernandes, learned Senior Standing Counsel for CBIC appearing on behalf of respondents. Perused the record.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed assailing the seizure memo dated 04.02.2026, issued by the 4<sup>th</sup>



respondent with the further request to forthwith release the imported consignment of the Multi-Functional Devices (212 units) under Bill of Entry No.8985220 dated 30.04.2026.

3. Similar writ petitions of identical nature have already been considered by this Bench wherein by way of a conditional interim order this Bench had permitted the release of seized goods subject to the petitioner/importer fulfilling certain conditions.

4. One such writ petition is W.P.No.12489 of 2025; where the goods imported were seized by the Customs authorities and by virtue of the interim order of this High Court, the Customs authorities were ordered to release on the following conditions. For convenience, we reproduce paragraph Nos.26 & 27 of the order passed in the aforesaid writ petition hereinunder:

26. Thus, for all the aforesaid reasons, it is ordered that let the respondent authorities pass an order on the application filed by the petitioners for provisional release of the goods subject to the conditions that:

a) The petitioner shall pay/deposit the enhanced duty amount. On receipt of such enhanced duty amount paid by the



petitioners, the goods in question shall be released within a period of four (04) weeks thereafter.

b) For payment of such duty, quantification shall be made by the Customs forthwith within one (01) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such quantification, the payment shall be immediately made by the petitioners and on receipt of the payment in entirety, the goods shall be released as indicated above at the outer limit of four (04) weeks.

c) It is made clear that this order will not stand in the way for Customs Department to go ahead with the further proceedings including the adjudication in the manner known to law.

d) It is further made clear that so far as the condition of the petitioner that demurrage charges till date, for the goods be considered for waiver, in this regard, if any application is filed by the petitioners seeking such a waiver of demurrage charges, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents objectively.

27. In addition, the petitioners are also directed to provide a bank guarantee worth 10 percent of the total price of the goods imported by them. Further, it is also ordered that in the event if the petitioners upon release of the goods provisionally make and sell the supply to their customers, details of the customers that of relevant price and details of the respective transactions shall be maintained and made available to the respondent authorities from time to time.

5. Pursuant to the said interim direction and upon the petitioner therein fulfilling the conditions stipulated by the High Court, the seized goods were released to the petitioner. The said order of releasing of the goods was subjected to

challenge before the Hon'ble Supreme Court; where the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP.No.42808/24, dated 15.01.2025, has refused to interfere with the order passed by this Bench.

6. However, as regards the proceedings before the adjudicating authority is concerned, the Hon'ble Supreme Court permitted the adjudicating authority to proceed and decide the same strictly in accordance with law. The petitioner is also held entitled for participation in the adjudicating proceedings.

7. Pursuant to the disposal of the SLP, this Court has disposed of all such writ petitions whereby the goods were released and the proceedings were pending before the adjudicating authority.

8. Similar nature of facts are also there in the instant case also where the stage at this juncture is only the seizure memo and prayer is also only for an interim release of the seized goods.



9. In the factual matrix narrated in the preceding paragraphs, we are of the considered opinion that the instant writ petition also therefore can be disposed of at the admission stage itself. Reserving the right of the adjudicating authority to take appropriate decision in the proceedings after permitting the petitioner to represent before the adjudicating authority.

10. Thus, for all the aforesaid reasons, it is ordered that let the respondent authorities pass an order on the application filed by the petitioner for provisional release of the goods subject to the conditions that:

a) The petitioner shall pay/deposit the enhanced duty amount. On receipt of such enhanced duty amount paid by the petitioner, the goods in question shall be released within a period of four (04) weeks thereafter.

b) For payment of such duty, quantification shall be made by the Customs forthwith within one (01) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such quantification, the payment shall be immediately made by the petitioner and on receipt of the payment in





12. Needless to mention that the adjudicating authority in the process of deciding the same would not be in any manner influenced by the order of conditional release ordered by this Court. The authority shall decide the same considering the objections and contentions that would be raised on either side.

13. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-C.DEEPIKA  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Commissioner of Customs (Hyderabad II) Imports, GST Bhavan, Opp: L.B. Stadium Basheer Bagh, Hyderabad 500004
2. The Joint Commissioner, of Customs (Imports) Opp L.B. stadium, GST Bhavan Basheerbagh, Hyderabad 500004
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Customs, O/o. Dy Commissioner of Customs, ICD, Thimmapur, Ranga Reddy-509 325
4. The Superintendent of Customs, O/o. Dy Commissioner of Customs ICD Thimmapur Ranga Reddy-509 325
5. One CC to SRI G. MALLA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to SRI DOMINIC FERNANDES (SR. SC for CBIC) [OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies

BN

AK



2026:TSHC:8246

**VACATION COURT**

**HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 14/05/2026**

**ORDER**

**WP.No.16639 of 2026**



**ALLOWING THE WRIT PETITION  
WITHOUT COSTS**

9 MT  
21/5/26