

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE G.M. MOHIUDDIN  
WP NO: 16595 OF 2026

**Between:**

1. Smt. Katravath Taxi, W/o Budda
2. Smt. Katravath Kandirya, S/o Gojya
3. Sri Kartavath Tavurya, S/o Gojya
4. Sri Katravath Gorya, S/o Gojya
5. Sri Katravath Chandru, S/o Gojya
6. Sri Sabayat Tirupati, S/o Tarya
7. Sri Sabayat Ravi, S/o Tarya
8. Sri Megavath Limbya, S/o Topya
9. Sri Katravath Mangya, S/o Budda

**...Petitioners**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Secretariat Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Appeals, Hyderabad at Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Rangareddy District.
4. The Revenue Division Officer, Shadnagar Division, RR District.
5. The Tahsildar, Keshampet Mandal Rangareddy District.
6. The Bhoodan Yagna Board, Gandhi Bhavan Hyderabad.

**...Respondents**

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate M/s. PILLIX LAW FIRM presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondent No.2 in issuing the

impugned proceeding vide Proc.No Settl-II/P3/148/2014 dated 30.08.2025, whereby the statutory appeal filed by the Petitioners has been dismissed mechanically, without proper application of mind, without assigning any cogent reasons, as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and in sheer violation of the principles of natural justice and consequently set-aside the impugned Proceedings vide Proce.No.Settl-II/P3/148/2014 dated 30.08.2025.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of M/s. PILLIX LAV/ FIRM Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

**You viz:**

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Appeals, Hyderabad at Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Rangareddy District.
4. The Revenue Division Officer, Shadnagar Division, RR District.
5. The Tahsildar, Keshampet Mandal Rangareddy District.
6. The Bhoodan Yagna Board, Gandhi Bhavan Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 22-06-2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned proceeding vide Proc.No.Settl-II/P3/148/2014 dated 30.08.2025, pending disposal of WP.No.16595 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

**THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:**

**Notice before admission.**

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent No.6 by way of Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and shall file proof of service in the Registry by the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned order dated 30.08.2025, is a non-speaking order, inasmuch as the order under challenge concludes that the subject lands are Bhoodan lands and that the said lands cannot be alienated.

Learned counsel further submits that the impugned order fails to determine the foundational jurisdictional issue as to whether the subject lands are, in fact, Bhoodan lands or not.

The learned counsel further contends that the impugned order reflects total non-application of mind to the primary evidence placed on record. In support of the said contention, reliance is placed upon the letter dated 09.02.2024, addressed by the Additional Collector to the Secretary, Telangana Bhoodan Area Board, wherein it is stated that the subject lands are not Bhoodan lands.

Learned counsel further submits that the said fact is also evident from the communication of the Board in Memo No.BYB/A/156/07, dated 05.12.2007 (Ex.P10) wherein it is clearly stated by the Bhoodan Board that the lands in Sy.Nos.119, 120, 121 and 122 of Vemulanarva Village are not registered as Bhoodan lands. It is further submitted that unless the impugned order is suspended pending disposal of the writ petition, the petitioners would suffer irreparable loss and injury.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue has drawn the attention of this Court to the written arguments extracted in the impugned order on behalf of the appellants particularly at page 23 and to the Report of the District Collector at page 24 thereof.

The matter requires detailed examination. Pending the detailed examination, let there be interim suspension of the impugned order till 22.06.2026.

List this matter on 22.06.2026.

Sd/- MOHD.ISMAIL  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Appeals, Hyderabad at Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Rangareddy District.
4. The Revenue Division Officer, Shadnagar Division, RR District.
5. The Tahsildar, Keshampet Mandal Rangareddy District.
6. The Bhoodan Yagna Board, Gandhi Bhavan Hyderabad [RR 1 to 6 by SPAD along with a copy of petition and affidavit].
7. One CC to M/s. PILLIX LAW FIRM, Advocate [OPUC].
8. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT].
9. One spare copy

**HIGH COURT**

**GMM,J**

**DATED: 15-05-2026**

**LIST ON 22.06.2026**

**NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION**

**WP.No.16595 of 2026**

**INTERIM SUSPENSION**

