

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO
CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2533 AND 2541 OF 2014

CRL.RC.No.2533 of 2014

Between:

Ajay Kumar Chawade, S/o. Sri Subhash Chandra Chawade

Petitioner/Appellant/Accused No.2

AND

1. State of Telangana, Represented by the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh.
2. Smt. Santosh Devi Jain, W/o. Sri Nirmal Kumar Jain, Rep. by G.P.A. holder Sri Nirmal Kumar Jain, R/o.4-3-269, Gujarathi Gaily, Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad.

Respondent/Respondent/Complainant

Revision filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to allowed and the judgment dated 17-12-2014 in Crl., Appeal No.320 of 2013 on the file of the Special Judge for Economic Offences-cum-VIII Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Hyderabad confirming the conviction in C.C.No.24 of 2013 on the file of the XV Special Magistrate, Hyderabad.

CRL.R.C.NO: 2541 OF 2014

Between:

Ajay Kumar Chawade, S/o. Sri Subhash Chandra Chawade

Petitioner/Appellant/Accused No.2

AND

1. State of Telangana, Represented by its Public prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad
For the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh.
2. Smt Santosh Devi Jain,, W/o. Sri Nirmal Kumar Jain, Rep. by GPA Holder Sri Nirmal Kumar Jain, Occ. Business, R/o. 4-3-269, Gujarathi Gally, Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad.

Respondent/Respondent/Complainant

Revision filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to allow and the judgment dated 17-12-2014 in Crl.Appeal No.375 of 2013 on the file of the Special Judge for Economic Offences-cum-VIII Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Hyderabad enhancing the quantum of sentence from 6 months to one year and enhancing the fine from Rs. 5,000/- to Rs.7,00,000/- in CC.No. 24 of 2013 on the file of the XV Sp. Magistrate, Hyderabad be set aside.

The petitions coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri T.S. PRAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate for the Petitioner, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent No.1 and Sri M. Dilip Kumar, Advocate representing Sri Vishal Kedia, Advocate for the respondents, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

These Criminal Revision Cases have been filed by the petitioner, aggrieved by the common judgment dated 17.12.2014 in Crl.Appeal Nos.375 and 320 of 2013, passed by the learned Special Judge for Economic Offences-Cum-VIII Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Hyderabad, wherein the learned Sessions Judge confirmed the judgment passed by the learned XV Special Magistrate, Hyderabad in C.C.No.24 of 2013 (old C.C.No.124 of 2011), dated 25.03.2013, whereby the petitioner was convicted for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for a period of three months and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/-, and in default, to suffer simple imprisonment for a period of 15 days.

Sri T. S. Praveen Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M. Dilip Kumar, learned counsel representing Sri Vishal Kedia, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that during the pendency of these criminal revision case, at the instance of elders and well wishers, the petitioner and respondents have settled the disputes pending between them and entered into compromise through settlement agreement dated 14.01.2026 and filed I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2026 seeking permission to compound the offences and to record the compromise respectively. Along with the said applications, both the parties have filed Joint Memo.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the respondent No.2/ *de-facto* complainant is suffering with health problems and she is unable to move from the bed. In these circumstances, he requested this Court to give permission to the respondent No.2 to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee through video conference.

Taking into consideration of the above said submission, the respondent No.2 is directed to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee on or before 28.02.2026 through video conference and produce identity proof.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee on or before 28.02.2026 for their identification along with their identity proofs.

Hence, the Registry is directed to transmit the case files to the High Court Legal Services Committee immediately.

The High Court Legal Services Committee shall submit the report before this Court by the next date of hearing.

Post on 03.03.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'.

SD/-M.OSMAN AKI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad. (by Special Messenger)
2. The Section Officer, Criminal Section, High Court at Hyderabad.
3. One CC to SRI T S PRAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI VISHAL KEDIA, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED:18.02.2026

POST ON 03.03.2026 UNDER THE CAPTION 'FOR COMPROMISE'.

ORDER



CRLRC.Nos.2533 AND 2541 of 2014

DIRECTION