

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
MAIN CASE NO.: C.R.P. No. 1459 of 2026
PROCEEDINGS SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	06.05.2026	<u>MB,J & GPK,J</u> Mr. S. Ravi, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr. G. Vamshi Krishna, learned counsel for the Revision Petitioner/Plaintiff. Mr. Avinash Desai, learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 3/defendant Nos.1 and 3. Mr. A. Venkatesh, learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent No.16(RUSAL) The Civil Revision Petition is filed against the docket proceedings dated 04.05.2026 in Case No.COS/0000006/2026 passed by the learned Additional Special Court in the Cadre of District Judge for Trial and Disposal of Commercial Disputes, Hyderabad, whereby, the suit filed by the revision petitioner/plaintiff was posted to 08.06.2026 for hearing and time was extended for filing of Written Statements by the respondents/defendants. We have heard learned Senior Counsel appearing for the revision petitioner/plaintiff; learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 3/defendant Nos.1 and 3 and learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent No.16 (RUSAL). The urgency for filing of the present Civil Revision Petition appears to be previous protection enjoyed by the petitioner recorded in the order dated 11.02.2026. The said order records the submission made by the learned Senior Counsel for Pioneer that requirement of 100 days of continuous production had not	

	been achieved and hence, there was no basis for the plaintiff's apprehension for the transfer of shares in favour of RUSAL. Learned Senior Counsel further submits that the learned Trial Court had reserved the I.As filed by the parties including under Order VII Rule 11 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 and Section 8 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, 'for Orders'. However, subsequently, the Trial Court expressed its inability to pronounce orders on the said I.As. Learned Senior Counsel submits that there is uncertainty as to the when the orders would be pronounced by the Trial Court. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for Pioneer, today, submits that even 50 days out of 100 days of continuous production have not been completed as of date. Learned Senior Counsel submits that this cannot be taken as an undertaking given on behalf of Pioneer. Hence the petitioner's apprehension appears to be a mere loss of comfort as there is no imminent threat of transfer of shares in favour of RUSAL. Considering the statement made on behalf of Pioneer, we deem it fit to list this matter on 10.06.2026 for further orders, if at all necessary. This is especially relevant in view of the fact that the Trial Court has already posted the I.As 'for Orders' as on 23.03.2026.	
--	---	--

		The issue of maintainability is kept open. MB,J GPK,J prat	
--	--	--	--