

(FRESH SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA,
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY ,THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 19884 OF 2020

Between:

Smt. Sandanaboina Adilakshamma, w/o T.B. Narasimha.

Petitioner

AND

1. State of Telangana, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, BRK Bhavan, Telangana.
2. The District Collector, Suryapeta District Suryapet, Telangana.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
4. The Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
5. G. Srikanth, s/o Murari, Aged 45 years, Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, R/o H.No.3-12-5, Sitaram Road, Suryapet District.
6. Smt. Avulamma Ravulamma, w/o Late Chennaiah, Aged 55 years, Housewife, R/o Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate SRI R DHEERAJ SINGH presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction, more in the nature of writ of mandamus under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, declaring the proceedings Dated 13/09/2020 issued by the 4th respondent in so far as it relates tampering and preparing revenue records with malafide intention in favor of the party respondent in so far as it relates to Ac.2,21 cents in Sy.No.449/a in Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District, on extraneous considerations, even though the petitioner is owner and in possession as on today camouflaging the Judgment in OS No. 65/1989 Dated 10/02/1995. by the Honble Court of Subordinate Judge, Suryapet, and confirmed by District Judge. Nalgonda, by orders dated 11/09/1997, in AS No. 72/1995, contrary to the ROR Act and statutory provisions issued by the respondent is concerned, as arbitrary, illegal, malafide, unconstitutional, violating

principles of natural justice, and ultra vires to proviso to Rule (5) 3 and (4) and Rule 14 of ROR Act is concerned, and set aside the same, and issue consequential directions, directing the 2nd respondent to conduct detailed enquiry and investigation in so far as it relates to modus operandi adopted by 4th respondent for including the party respondent as owner instead of petitioner in revenue records, contrary to the ROR Act and statutory provisions in force as on today in so far as it relates to Ac.2.21 cents in Sy.No.449/a in Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District. and take necessary action as per law against all concerned, in pursuance of the representation filed by petitioner in rectifying the revenue records showing petitioner as absolute owner in so far as it relates to land Ac.2.21 cents in Sy.No.449/a in Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Kirna Reddy Advocate Representing Sri Deeraj Singh Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of fresh notice to the Respondent Nos. 5 & 6, herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. G. Srikanth, s/o Murari, Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, R/o H.No.3-12-5, Sitaram Road, Suryapet District.
2. Smt. Avulamma Ravulamma, w/o Late Chennaiah, Housewife, R/o Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District.

are directed to show cause on or before 27.10.2025 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following: ORDER

Mr. Kirna reddy, learned counsel representing the petitioner is present.

As seen from the record, there is no service of notice upon respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

Registry is directed to issue fresh notice to respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent Nos. 5 and 6 and file proof of service in the Registry by the next date of hearing.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue is present.

List this matter on 27.10.2025.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD-/L.VIJAYA LAKSHMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. G. Srikanth, s/o Murari, Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, R/o H.No.3-12-5, Sitaram Road, Suryapet District.
2. Smt. Avulamma Ravulamma, w/o Late Chennaiah, Housewife, R/o Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District (Addressees 1& 2 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
3. One CC to SRI. R DHEERAJ SINGH Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE (TG) ,High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. One spare copy
SRT



HIGH COURT

EVVJ

DATED:22/09/2025

List this matter on 27.10.2025

FRESH NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.19884 of 2020

