

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
 THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY
:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER
WRIT PETITION NO: 19884 OF 2020

Between:

Smt.Sandanaboina Adilakshamma, W/o. T.B Narasimha

...Petitioner

AND

1. State of Telangana, represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, BRK Bhavan, Telangana.
2. The District Collector, Suryapeta District Suryapet, Telangana.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
4. The Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
5. G. Srikanth, S/o. Murari, Aged 45 years, Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, R/o. H.No.3-12-5, Sitaram Road, Suryapet District.
6. Smt. Avulamma Ravulamma, W/o. Late Chennaiah, Aged 55 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate M/s S.V INDIRA presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction, more in the nature of writ of mandamus under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, declaring the proceedings Dated: 13/09/2020 issued by the 4th respondent in so far as it relates tampering and preparing revenue records with malafide intention in favor of the party respondent in so far as it relates to Ac.2,21 cents in Sy.No.449/a in Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District, on extraneous considerations, even though the petitioner is owner and in possession as on today camouflaging the Judgement in OS No. 65/1989 Dated 10/02/1995. by the Court of Subordinate Judge, Suryapet, and confirmed by District Judge. Nalgonda, by orders dated 11/09/1997, in AS No.72/1995, contrary to the ROR Act and statutory provisions issued by the respondent is concerned, as arbitrary, illegal, malafide, unconstitutional, violating principles of natural justice, and ultra vires to proviso to Rule (5) 3 and (4) and Rule 14 of ROR Act is concerned, and set aside the same, and issue consequential directions, directing the 2nd respondent to conduct detailed enquiry and investigation in so far as it relates to modus operandi adopted by 4th respondent for including the party respondent as owner instead of petitioner in revenue records, contrary to the ROR Act and statutory provisions in force as on today in so far as it relates to Ac.2.21 cents in Sy.No.449/a in Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District and take necessary action as per law against all concerned, in pursuance of the representation filed by petitioner in rectifying the revenue records showing petitioner as absolute owner in so far as it relates to land Ac.2.21 cents in Sy.No.449/a in Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of M/s S.V INDIRA, Advocate for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, who takes notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1, to 4, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, BRK Bhavan, Telangana.
2. The District Collector, Suryapeta District Suryapet, Telangana.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
4. The Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
5. G. Srikanth, S/o. Murari, Occ: Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, R/o. H.No.3-12-5, Sitaram Road, Suryapet District.
6. Smt. Avulamma Ravulamma, W/o. Late Chennaiah, Occ: Housewife, R/o., Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District.

are directed to show cause on or before 17-12-2020 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

Heard. Perused the record.

Notice before admission.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue takes notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 and seeks time to get instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent Nos.5 and 6 by RPAD and file proof of service.

Post on 17.12.2020.

SD/- N. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, BRK Bhavan, Telangana.
2. The District Collector, Suryapeta District Suryapet, Telangana.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
4. The Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapeta Division, Suryapet District.
5. G. Srikanth, S/o. Murari, Occ: Tahsildar, Nagaram Mandal, R/o. H.No.3-12-5, Sitaram Road, Suryapet District.
6. Smt. Avulamma Ravulamma, W/o. Late Chennaiah, Occ: Housewife, R/o., Vardhamanakota Village, Nagaram Mandal, Suryapet District (RR 1 to 6 by RPAD along with a copy of petition and affidavit).
7. One CC to M/s. S.V INDIRA, Advocate [OPUC].
8. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT].
9. One spare copy
ZEE

HIGH COURT

Dr.SAJ

DATED:19/11/2020

POST ON 17.12.2020

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.19884 of 2020



A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'S' shape.