

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

IA NO. 2 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION NO: 17167 OF 2019

Between:

1. Mr.Mohd.Shareef (died),per L.Rs
2. M.A. Moin S/o Late Mohd. Shareef, Aged 38 years, Occ : Tailor,
3. Miss. Uzma Sulthana D/o Late Mohd. Shareef, Aged 30 years, Occ Nil

Both are R/o H.No. 11-1-781, New Bohiguda, Hyderabad

...Petitioners/Proposed Petitioners No. 2&3

AND

1. State of Telangana , Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department Secretariat Buildings, at Hyderabad
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tank Bund, Hyderabad
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Circle No.7, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Khairtabad, Hyderabad
4. The Assistant City Planner, Circle No.7, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Khairtabad, Hyderabad-TS
5. Mr.M.Prakash, S/o.Late M.Chaganlal Aged about 55 years, Occ. Business, R/o.H.No.11-1-782, New Bohiguda, Hyderabad,

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

Petition under Order 18 Rule 17 under Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Recall the Order, dated 12.09.2025 in W.P.No.17167 of 2019 and restore the same to its file

Counsel for the Petitioner: M/s. PAPAIAH PEDDAKULA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2to4: SC FOR GHMC

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: SRI BATHULA RAJ KIRAN

The Court made the following: ORDER

This application is filed to recall the order dated 12.09.2025 passed by this Court, by which the writ petition was dismissed as infructuous.

Heard Sri Papaiah Peddakula, learned counsel for the petitioners. He submitted that the earlier counsel on record, without instructions from the legal heirs of the deceased petitioner No.1, represented that the cause in the writ petition did not survive, and based on such representation, this Court dismissed the writ petition as infructuous. He further submits that in fact, the cause in the writ petition survives, therefore, prayed to recall the order dated 12.09.2025.

Considering the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the reasons set out in the accompanying affidavit, the order dated 12.09.2025, passed by this Court, is recalled and the writ petition is restored to its file.

SD/- A. SREENIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Section Officer, Writ (Non-Service), Section High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad
2. The Section Officer, Posting Section, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad

3. One CC to M/s. PAPAIAH PEDDAKULA, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. One CC to SRI. BATHULA RAJ KIRAN, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to SC FOR GHMC [OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies

B M
GJP

~~AH~~


HIGH COURT

DATED:26/02/2026

POST ON 31.03.2026

ORDER

**IA NO. 2 OF 2025 IN
WP.No.17167 of 2019**



WP IS RESTORED TO ITS FILE

(10) NT
23/3/26.