



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE APARESH KUMAR SINGH

ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO: 96 OF 2025

Between:

M/s. Prima Urbanscapes Pvt. Ltd., Represented by its Managing Director Sri. Nandi Ravinder Reddy, Office at Plot No. 13A, Rao and Raju Colony, Road No. 2, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, 500034.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Sri P. Srinivas Goud, S/o. Late P. Bala Goud, Age: 54 years, Occ: Business, R/o. H.No.1-52, Ward No. 2, Near Gram-Panchayat Office, Mallampet Village, Dundigal- Gandimaisamma Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri Dist-502303
2. Smt P. Prasanna Rani Goud, W/o. Late P. Prakash Goud, Age: 53 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o. MIG #1865, Old MIG, BHEL, RC Puram Lingampally
3. Sri P. Bhargav Teja Goud, S/o. Late P. Surender Goud, Age: 28 years, Occ: Business, R/o. Villa No. 56, Prima Paradiso, Mallampet Village, Dundigal Gandimaisamma Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri Dist-502303
4. P.Sai Vikas Goud, S/o. Late P. Prakash Goud, Age: 24 years, Occ: Student, R/o. MIG #1865, Old MIG, BHEL, RC Puram Lingampally.

(Respondent No.2, 3 and 4 are Proforma Parties and no notices are necessary)

...Respondents

Arbitration Application under Section 11(5) and (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 R/w Scheme for Appointment of Arbitrators, 1996 praying that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased:

- (a) To appoint a Sole Arbitrator for reasonable fees to resolve the disputes between the Applicant and the Respondent No.1 arising out of the Development Agreement-cum-Irrevocable General Power of Attorney dated 29.06.2016; and
- (b) To award costs of the Application.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri B. Rajeshwar Reddy

Counsel for the Respondents: None Appeared

The Court made the following: ORDER



Mr. B.Rajeshwar Reddy, counsel for the applicant.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the dispute under the Development - Agreement-cum-Irrevocable General Power of Attorney dated 29.06.2016 is as against respondent No.1 only since respondent Nos.2 to 4 have settled the matter outside the Court after filing of Arbitration O.P.No.39 of 2025 before learned IX Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Courts, Hyderabad.

The Development Agreement-cum-Irrevocable General Power of Attorney dated 29.06.2016 provides for an arbitration clause No.31, which reads as under:

"31. In case of any dispute arising between the parties hereto touching these presents, the matter shall be referred to the Arbitrator authorities, chosen one by each party and in case of difference of opinion between the Arbitrators, to choose a third Arbitrator as common umpire and their award shall be final and binding on all parties and the relevant provisions of the Indian Arbitration Act shall apply to the proceedings. All costs arbitration shall be borne by both parties in equal proportion. Courts at Hyderabad shall only have jurisdiction to file a case if deemed fit."

Respondent No.1 has failed to respond to the notice dated 19.02.2025, Annexure P-12 at Page No.118.

According to the applicant, the summary of the claims is provided under Para 14 as against all the landlords respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

However, learned counsel for the applicant submits that since respondent Nos.2 to 4 have settled the matter, the remaining claims as against respondent No.1 are due to the extent Rs. 1,15,90,646/- as per the tabular chart enclosed at para 21. Learned counsel for the applicant, however, submits that this Court may appoint a sole Arbitrator in place of a panel of Arbitrators.

The matter was adjourned in the previous date to enable the learned counsel for the applicant to satisfy on the issue of appointment of a sole Arbitrator when the arbitration clause provides for a panel of Arbitrators.

Learned counsel for the applicant has relied upon a decision of the Apex Court in the case of **Union of India v. Singh Builders Syndicate** [(2009) 4 SCC 523] and submitted that the Apex Court in the facts of the said case did not interfere in the appointment of a sole Arbitrator by the Delhi High Court after taking note of the precedents such as **Northern Railway Admn., Ministry of Railway v. Patel Engg. Co. Ltd** (2008) 10 SCC 240. He submits that the decision of the Apex Court has been followed by the Delhi High Court in a recent judgment in Arbitration Petition No.24 of 2024 dated 22.05.2024. It is submitted that since the respondent No.1 has failed to respond to the notice dated 19.02.2025 for appointment of an Arbitrator and also failed to appear before this Court after notice, appointment of a sole Arbitrator would be in the interest of the parties and also prevent delays and frequent changes in an arbitral Tribunal comprising more than one Arbitrator. He submitted that



2025:TSHC:39743

therefore this Court may appoint an independent sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances noted above the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and the decision cited above, this Court proposes to appoint a former Judge of this Court Mr. Justice Sambasivarao Naidu (residing at Surya A-221, Western Plaza, Shaikpet, OU Colony, Hyderabad-500008, Phone No.040-29356216) as an Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.1. The proposed Arbitrator is requested to submit his consent and a declaration in terms of Section 12(1) read with Schedule VI of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

Registry is directed to communicate this order to the proposed Arbitrator.

The matter be listed after two weeks with the response, if any, of the proposed Arbitrator.

SD/- A.V.S.S.C.S.M. SARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Mr. Justice Sambasivarao Naidu, Former Judge, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. (residing at Surya A-221, Western Plaza, Shaikpet, OU Colony, Hyderabad-500008, Phone No.040-29356216. **(By Special Messenger) (Along with a Copy of Application affidavit and material papers)**)
2. The Section Officer, O.S.Section, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
3. One CC to Mr. B.Rajeshwar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

kam



2025:TSHC:39743

HIGH COURT

DATED:22/08/2025

ORDER

ARBAPPL.No.96 of 2025



DIRECTION

(6)

kpr
25/8/25