

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A.Nos.656 and 683 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
2.	15.07.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS,J) & GMM,J</u> <u>I.A.No.3 of 2026 in W.A.No.683 of 2026</u> For the reasons stated in the supporting affidavit, this interlocutory application seeking to dispense with filing of certified copy of the impugned order is allowed. _____ HCJ (AKrS,J) _____ GMM,J <u>I.A.No.2 of 2026 in W.A.No.656 of 2026</u> <u>and</u> <u>I.A.No.2 of 2026 in W.A.No.683 of 2026</u> Learned Senior Counsel Sri A.Venkatesh, representing learned counsel Sri Pramod Maligi, appears for the appellant. Learned counsel Sri P.Soma Sekhara Naidu appears for respondents No.1 to 3 in W.A.No.656 of 2026 and for respondents No.1 to 5 in W.A.No.683 of 2026. Sri Muralidhar Reddy Katram, learned Government	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any.

	Pleader for Revenue, appears for respondents No.4 to 8 in W.A.No.656 of 2026 and for respondents No.6 to 10 in W.A.No.683 of 2026. On hearing learned counsel for the parties and on being satisfied with the explanation urged, the delay in representing the present appeals is condoned. The interlocutory applications are accordingly allowed. _____ HCJ (AKrS,J) _____ GMM,J <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026 in W.A.No.656 of 2026</u> <u>and</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026 in W.A.No.683 of 2026</u> Learned Senior Counsel Sri A.Venkatesh, representing learned counsel Sri Pramod Maligi, appears for the appellant. Learned counsel Sri P.Soma Sekhara Naidu appears for respondents No.1 to 3 in W.A.No.656 of 2026 and for respondents No.1 to 5 in W.A.No.683 of 2026 Sri Muralidhar Reddy Katram, learned Government Pleader for Revenue, appears for respondents No.4 to 8 in W.A.No.656 of 2026 and for respondents No.6 to 10 in W.A.No.683 of 2026. On hearing learned counsel for the parties and on being satisfied with the explanation urged, the delay in filing the present appeals is condoned.	
--	--	--

		The interlocutory applications are accordingly allowed. _____ HCJ (AKrS,J) _____ GMM,J <u>W.A.Nos.656 and 683 of 2026</u> Learned Senior Counsel Sri A.Venkatesh, representing learned counsel Sri Pramod Maligi, appears for the appellant. Learned counsel Sri P.Soma Sekhara Naidu appears for respondents No.1 to 3 in W.A.No.656 of 2026 and for respondents No.1 to 5 in W.A.No.683 of 2026 Sri Muralidhar Reddy Katram, learned Government Pleader for Revenue, appears for respondents No.4 to 8 in W.A.No.656 of 2026 and for respondents No.6 to 10 in W.A.No.683 of 2026. A stand has been taken by the official respondents in their counter affidavit that after vesting of the inam lands in the State with effect from 20.07.1955, no person could have acquired ownership rights unless the occupancy rights were granted under the Telangana Abolition of Inams Act, 1955. The sale deeds relied upon by the writ petitioners could not confer any valid title. Even the certificate issued under Section 38-E of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, is not valid. The State, therefore, defended the order of the revisional authority which was impugned in the writ petitions. However, the	
--	--	---	--

		averments made in the counter affidavit are not supported by official documents. Learned Government Pleader for Revenue is allowed two weeks time to bring them on record. Learned counsel for the appellant and the learned counsel for the writ petitioners shall submit a short chronology of dates. List these cases after two weeks. HCJ (AKrS,J) GMM,J vs	
--	--	--	--