

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY
WP NO: 15635 OF 2026**

Between

1. Muthyala Malla Reddy, So. Rajeshwar Reddy.
2. Gagireddy Malla Reddy, So. Raja Reddy.
3. Gujjula Giridhar Reddy, So. Ramakrishna Reddy.
4. Nama Hanmantha Rao, So. Rajeshwar Rao.
5. Kasuganti Rajendhar Rao, S o. Laxminarsimha Rao.
6. Kasuganti Anuradha, Wo. Rajendhar Rao.
7. Chennadi Srinath, S o. Ravinder Rao Chennadi.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary Revenue Department Secretariat Hyderabad Telangana - 500022.
2. The District Collector, Peddapalli District Peddapalli Telangana - 505172.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Peddapalli Division Peddapalli District Telangana - 505172
4. The Tahsildar, Dharmaram Mandal Peddapalli District Telangana - 505172

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to Issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus or Order or Direction to set aside quash the Order dt. 27.04.2026 bearing no. E34342026 Impugned Order and Notices dated 18.03.2026 31.03.2026 and 13.04.2026 bearing Lr. No. E34342026 impugned Notices issued by Respondent No. 2 the District Collector Peddapalli as being illegal without jurisdiction premeditated arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of the Telangana Abolition of Inams Act 1955 and Consequently set aside all actions and

proceedings if any initiated in pursuance of or arising out of the Impugned Notices and the Impugned Order.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased It is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to stay the operation of the Impugned Order dt. 27.04.2026 bearing no. E3434 2026 issued by Respondent No. 2 the District Collector Peddapalli and stay all further actions proceedings if any arising out of or in pursuance of the said Order, pending disposal of WP No 15635 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. D Prakash Reddy, representing Ms K HARSHITHA REDDY Advocate for the Petitioners, SRI L Ravinder, ASST GP FOR REVENUE for the Respondents, and the Court made the following.

ORDER

Heard Mr. D.Prakash Reddy, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for Ms. Harshitha Kasarla, learned counsel for the petitioners, and Mr. L.Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for the respondents.

Learned Senior Counsel submitted that the predecessors-in-title were issued Occupancy Rights Certificates (ORCs) in respect of the land admeasuring

Acs.72-00 guntas in Survey Nos.679/4, 679/5, 679/6 and 679/7, situated at Boomareddipalli Village, Dharmaram Mandal, Peddapalli District, *vide* proceedings of respondent No.3 bearing No.B/1452/2013 dated 20.01.2014. The petitioners are the subsequent purchasers of the subject property under registered sale deed bearing document Nos.3732 of 2015, 3734 of 2015, 3737 of 2015, 3735 of 2015, 3733 of 2015, 3738 of 2015, 3731 of 2015 and 3736 of 2015 dated 05.02.2015 and their names were entered in the revenue records in respect of the subject land. While so, the notice dated 18.03.2026 was issued by respondent No.2 under the impugned proceedings No.E3/434/2026 dated 27.04.2026 on representation submitted by a local MLA alleging illegal transactions in respect of the land in Survey No.679, situated at Bommareddipally Village. It is stated that responding to the said notice dated

18.03.2026, the petitioners submitted their first reply on 10.04.2026 and second reply on 29.04.2026 questioning the jurisdiction of respondent No.2. It was contended by the petitioners that ORCs were issued to the predecessors-in-title and that certificates were not challenged and moreover, the notice dated 18.03.2026 is not traceable in the proceedings dated 27.04.2026 and appeal has not been preferred challenging the said ORCs and after lapse of twelve (12) years, the matter cannot be reopened.

Learned Senior Counsel submitted that unless the ORCs granted to the predecessors-in-title are set aside in an appeal filed under the Telangana Abolition of *Inams* Act 1955, question of conducting any proceedings does not arise.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue submitted that there is no evidence to show that the subject land is *Inam* land and in fact, it was clearly recorded by respondent No.2 that the land admeasuring Acs.670.22 gutnas in Survey No.679 was recorded as 'Sarkari Porampogu' (Government land). Further, as per file No.DO10/4 of 1347 Fasli, Mr. Devi Pedda Lachiga @ Lachiga Neeradi was accorded permission for cultivation of Acs.72-03 guntas in Survey No.679. There is no evidence other than the said letter which permitted cultivation. It is neither *inam* land nor assigned land. Learned Assistant Government Pleader further submitted that respondent No.2 recorded that legal heirs of Devi Padda Lachiga got their names entered in the revenue records by managing the revenue officials and that petitioners were issued several notices and in fact they have submitted written arguments as evident from the un-numbered paragraph at page No.3 of the impugned proceedings dated 27.04.2026.

The matter requires examination after counter is filed by the respondents.

Taking note of the ORCs dated 20.01.2014 issued to the vendors/predecessors-in-title of the petitioners, there shall be interim suspension of the impugned proceedings dated 27.04.2026 till the next date of hearing.

However, the petitioners are directed not to create any third party alienation or change the nature of the subject land.

Post on 23.06.2026.

Sd/- P.PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat Hyderabad Telangana - 500022.[By SPAD]
2. The District Collector, Peddapalli District Peddapalli Telangana - 505172.[By SPAD]
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Peddapalli Division Peddapalli District Telangana - 505172[By SPAD]
4. The Tahsildar, Dharmaram Mandal Peddapalli District Telangana - 505172[By SPAD]
5. One CC to Sri. K HARSHITHA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR, J

DATED: 05-05-2026

Post on 23.06.2026

ORDER

WP.No.15635 of 2026

INTERIM SUSPENSION

