

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE RENUKA YARA

IA No. 3 OF 2025

IN

SA NO: 519 OF 2025

Between:

Janagama Beerappa S/o. Sangaiah

...Petitioner/Appellant/Plaintiff

(Appellant in SA 519 OF 2025

on the file of High Court)

AND

Janagama Laxmaiah, S/o. Sangaiah, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. Kukinda

Village, Dharur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...Respondent/Respondent/Defendant

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI VADEENDRA JOSHI

Counsel for the Respondent : ---

Petition under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant injunction against the Respondent including their heirs, agents, representatives, etc., restraining them from interfering with peaceful possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner over suit schedule property, Pending disposal of SA No.519 of 2025, preferred to the High Court against the Judgment and Decree dated 24.02.2025 passed in AS No.6 of 2020 by the Principal District Judge, Vikarabad District preferred against the Judgment and Decree dated 25.10.2019 passed in O S No.103 of 2009 by the I Additional Junior Civil Judge, Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District

SUIT SCHEDULE PROPERTY

All that the property agricultural lands bearing Sy. No. 49 adm Ac. 1-01 guntas, Sy.No.51 adm Ac.1-14 guntas and Sy.No.52 Ac. 00-21 guntas total

admeasuring Ac.2-37 guntas all situated at Kukinda Village, Dharur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District and bounded by:

EAST : Land of Defendant
 WEST : Lands of Ramsingh purchased by Bantu Anthamma
 NORTH : Road and Land of P. Sayanna
 SOUTH : Vagu

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER

This application is filed under Order 39, Rule 1 and 2 r/w 151 of CPC seeking injunction restraining the respondent from interfering with the peaceful possession of the petitioner/appellant/plaintiff over the suit schedule property.

In view of the substantial questions of law raised, since the possession of the appellant is admitted by DW2 in his cross examination, balance of convenience is in favour of the appellant and therefore, ad-interim injunction is granted till the next date of hearing.

**SD/- K. BHAVANI SWAMY
 ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District Judge, Vikarabad District (by SPAD-in duplicate along with a copy of injunction to serve on the respondent and return the same to this Court)
2. The I Additional Junior Civil Judge, Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District
3. Janagama Laxmaiah S/o. Sangaiah, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. Kukinda Village, Dharur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District (By RPAD)
4. One CC to SRI VADEENDRA JOSHI Advocate [OPUC] ✓
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

RY,J

DATED:19/11/2025

ORDER

IA No.3 of 2025
In
SA.No.519 of 2025

INTERIM INJUNCTION

