

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

TUESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF AUGUST TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI
WRIT PETITION NO: 16737 OF 2019**

Between:

Shaik Mohd. Sajid Athar, S/o. Shaik Asghar Ali



...Petitioner

AND

1. The Assistant General Manager and Controlling Authority, CPPC, 1st Floor, SCAB Building, Local Head Office Compound, Bank Street, Koti, Hyderabad - 500095.
2. The Regional Manager and Disciplinary Authority, The Regional Business Office-I, (Kukatpally Region), State Bank of India, 3rd Floor, R.P.Road, Patny Center, Secunderbad - 500003.
3. The Deputy General Manager, (B & O) & Appellate Authority, AO, Hyderabad, State Bank of India, Patny Centre, Secunderabad 500 003.
4. The Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Bank Street, Koti, Hyderabad - 500095.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Mr. C. SAI REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents No.2 and 3 in imposing the punishment of removal from service treating the suspension as not on duty through impugned orders in RBO/KKP/HR/908 dated 29.9.2018 and rejection of appeal vide Order No. HR/AOHYD/1619 dated 10.1.2019 set aside the same as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction, contrary to the provisions for disciplinary proceedings settlement dt.10.4.2002, violation of principles of natural justice and constitutional provisions and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service, treating the suspension period as on duty with all consequential benefits.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. C. SAI REDDY, Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Assistant General Manager and Controlling Authority, CPPC, 1st Floor, SCAB Building, Local Head Office Compound, Bank Street, Koti, Hyderabad - 500095.
2. The Regional Manager and Disciplinary Authority, The Regional Business Office-I, (Kukatpally Region), State Bank of India, 3rd Floor, R.P.Road, Patny Center, Secunderbad - 500003.
3. The Deputy General Manager, (B & O) & Appellate Authority, AO, Hyderabad, State Bank of India, Patny Centre, Secunderabad 500 003.
4. The Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Bank Street, Koti, Hyderabad - 500095.

are directed to show cause as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

Notice before admission.

Post after four weeks.

SD/- N.CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Assistant General Manager and Controlling Authority, CPPC, 1st Floor, SCAB Building, Local Head Office Compound, Bank Street, Koti, Hyderabad - 500095.
2. The Regional Manager and Disciplinary Authority, The Regional Business Office-I, (Kukatpally Region), State Bank of India, 3rd Floor, R.P.Road, Patny Center, Secunderbad – 500003.
3. The Deputy General Manager, (B & O) & Appellate Authority, AO, Hyderabad, State Bank of India, Patny Centre, Secunderabad 500 003.
4. The Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Bank Street, Koti, Hyderabad - 500095 (RR 1 to 4 by RPAD along with a copy of petition and affidavit).
5. One CC to Mr. C. SAI REDDY, Advocate [OPUC].
6. One spare copy
ZEE

HIGH COURT

AKSJ

DATED:06/08/2019

POST AFTER FOUR WEEKS

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.16737 of 2019

