

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA****CRIMINAL APPEAL NO: 275 OF 2011****Between:**

B.Shekar Goud S/o B.Satyanarayana

Appellant/Accused**AND**

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 374 (2) of Cr.P.C, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CrI.A., the High Court may be pleased to set-aside the Judgment dated 17.03.2011 passed by the learned IV Addl. Sessions Judge (FTC), in S.C.No.393 of 2007 convicting the appellant for an offence U/s. 304-B of IPC and acquit the appellant.

Counsel for the Appellant**: ---****Counsel for the Respondent****: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR**

The Court made the following.

ORDER

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that notice is served on the appellant and proof of service is filed in the Registry vide USR No.136723 of 2025 dated 28.11.2025.

In spite of service of notice, none appears on behalf of the appellant.

Sri B Sathish, learned counsel undertakes to argue the case on behalf of the appellant.

Hence, Sri B Sathish, learned counsel, is appointed as Amicus Curiae to argue the case on behalf of the appellant.

Registry is directed to furnish a copy of the material papers to the Amicus Curiae, so as to enable him to assist the Court on the next date of hearing.

Post on 11.03.2026.

Sd/- S.MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri B Sathish, Advocate [by Spl. Messenger along with entire material paper]
2. The Section Officer, Criminal Section, High Court at Hyderabad
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

ETD,J

DATED:25/02/2026

Post on 11.03.2026

ORDER

CRLA.No.275 of 2011

DIRECTION

