

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: CrI.P.No.7211 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	04.05.2026	<u>JSR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Dispensed with for the present. <u>CrI.P.No.7211 of 2026</u> This criminal petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused No.1 seeking to quash the proceedings in Crime No.266 of 2026 of S.R. Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'the BNS'). Heard Mr. K. Satish Chakaravarthy, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri V. Jithender Rao, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1 and Mr. V. Yadu Krishna Sainath, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/victim. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the petitioner and respondent No.2/victim are known to each other and when both of them planned to get married, due to some misunderstanding between them, the said proposal was broken up. Respondent No.2 filed the present complaint against the petitioner due to some ill advice and misunderstanding and the petitioner is not	Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		intending to pursue the proceedings further and she is not having objection to quash the proceedings against the petitioner. Learned counsel for the both parties submitted that the parties have filed I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2026 seeking permission to compound the offences and to record the compromise respectively. When this Court raised a query as to whether the parties are entitled to seek recording of a compromise in respect of heinous offence under Section 69 of the BNS, learned counsel relied upon the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Madhukar and others vs. State of Maharashtra¹, wherein it was held when the victim/<i>de-facto</i> complainant expressed that continuation of the prosecution will goes further disruption in her personal life and that she did not wish to support the charges or pursue the matter further, this Court is having power to record the compromise and to compound the offences. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has not opposed the same. Taking into consideration the submissions made by the respective parties, averments made in the joint memo and the said applications <i>vide</i> I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2026, the petitioner and respondent No.2 are directed to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee for identification, along with their identity cards, on or	

¹ 2025 LiveLaw (SC) 710

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		before 05.05.2026. Registry is directed to transmit the case file to the High Court Legal Services Committee immediately. The High Court Legal Services Committee shall in turn submit the report before this Court by the next date of hearing. This order will not be taken as a precedent in any other case. Post on 06.05.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'. <u>JSR, J</u> ggd	