

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY
WP NO: 15032 OF 2026**

Between

Mota Lakshmaiah, S/o. Ashappa.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Vikarabad District, At Vikarabad,
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tandur, Division at Tandur, Vikarabad District,
4. The Tahsildar, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District,
5. The Grampanchayat, Rep by its Panchayath Secretary, Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District.
6. The Sarpanch, Indanoor Grampanchayath, Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District.
7. The Station House Officer, Police Station Kodangal, Vikarabad District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 to 7 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner agriculture land admeasuring Ac.O-30 Gts, in Sy.No.126/A, situated at Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District and trying to construct the Grampanchyath Office in part of subject property without issuing any notice, without following due process of law is illegal, arbitrary, abuse of process of law, violation of principles of natural justice and contrary to the law and consequently to direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner agriculture land admeasuring Ac.O-30 Gts, in Sy.No.126/A, situated at Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner agriculture land admeasuring Ac.0-30 Gts, in Sy.No.126/A, situated at Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District, pending disposal of WP No 15032 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI UDAY KUMAR KUKKADAPU Advocate for the Petitioner, ASST GP FOR REVENUE for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and SRI K Pradeep Reddy, standing Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 & 6, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

Petitioner claims patta and possession in respect of land admeasuring Ac.0.30 guntas in Sy.No.126/A, Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District, on the basis of e-pattadar passbook bearing No.T07070180248. It is alleged that there is interference by the respondents No.3 to 7 in the land of the petitioner and attempts are made to construct Gram Panchayath office by falsely claiming that the land is Government land.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue that resolution was passed by the Gram Panchayath in its meeting held on 07.01.2026 for construction of Gram Panchayath office in an extent of Ac.0.08 guntas in Sy.No.126. It is further stated that an extent of Ac.0.30 guntas in Sy.No.126/A has been taken by the District Collector, Mahabubnagar, for house sites to SC, BC and EBC people. The land in an extent of Ac.0.08 guntas in Sy.No.126 is recorded as Grama Kantam since 1994 till date. He relied upon pahani filed at page No.63 of the material papers, which shows that the land to an extent of Ac.0.08 guntas in Sy.No.126/ is recorded as Grama Kantam and in Column No.10 it is recorded as Government land.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader submitted that the land in an extent of Ac.0.08 guntas in Sy.No.126 was identified under the cover of

panchanama dated 13.03.2026, as per pahanies from 1994-95 till 2026. Copy of the proceedings of the District Collector, Land Acquisition, dated 23.05.1987 is also placed on record from which it appears that the land in an extent of Ac.0.30 guntas in Sy.No.126 and Ac.1.70 guntas in Sy.No.127, total admeasuring Ac.2.00 guntas, was acquired by invoking urgency clause as per Section 17(4) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 by dispensing with enquiry under Section 5-A of the Act. ✓

It is seen from the record that the petitioner was issued pattadar passbooks for various extents including the land admeasuring Ac.0.30 guntas in Sy.No.127/A. ✓

However, learned Assistant Government Pleader seriously contests the pattadar passbook by stating that the question of issuance of pattadar passbook for land, which is earmarked as Grama Kantam, does not arise. ✓

Considering the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue and entries made in the pahanies from the year 1994 onwards that the land in an extent of Ac.0.08 guntas in Sy.No.126 is Grama Kantam, the respondents No.5 and 6 are given liberty to proceed with construction in an extent of Ac.0.08 guntas in Sy.No.126, however, by surveying the land and clearly demarcating the land of the petitioner in Sy.No.126. It is made clear that after demarcation of Ac.0.08 guntas out of Ac.0.30 guntas in Sy.No.126/A, the respondent authorities are directed not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the petitioner in an extent of Ac.0.22 guntas without following due process of law. If, at later point of time, it is found that the land in an extent of Ac.0.30 guntas including Ac.0.08 guntas was not acquired from the petitioner, as the case may be, it needs to be examined whether he is entitled for compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act. 2013. ✓

List on 25.06.2026.

Sd/- AHMAD ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.[By SPAD] ✓
2. The District Collector, Vikarabad District, At Vikarabad,[By SPAD]
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tandur, Division at Tandur, Vikarabad District,[By SPAD] ✓
4. The Tahsildar, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District,[By SPAD]
5. The Panchayath Secretary, Grampanchayat, Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District.[By SPAD] ✓
6. The Sarpanch, Indanoor Grampanchayat, Indanoor Village, Kodangal Mandal, Vikarabad District.[By SPAD] ✓
7. The Station House Officer, Police Station Kodangal, Vikarabad District[By SPAD] ✓
8. One CC to Sri. UDAY KUMAR KUKKADAPU Advocate [OPUC] ✓
9. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT] ✓
10. One spare copy ✓

HIGH COURT

BVR, J

DATED: 30-04-2026

List on 25.06.2026

ORDER

WP.No.15032 of 2026

DIRECTION

