



2026:TSHC:8227

[3183]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 14999 OF 2026

Between:

1. Pasham Lakshman Goud, S/o. P.Kishtaiah, aged about 66 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No.3-54, Palamakul Village, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2. Bairgoni Lakshmi Bai, W/o. B. Mallaiah, aged about 77 years, Occ. House Wife, R/o. H. No.25-61/23, CIEFL Colony, Malkajgiri, Secunderabad.
3. Kondagiri Venkataramana Murthy, S/o. K. Srinivasa Murthy, aged about 61 years, Occ. Business, R/o. D. No.C-90, BN Reddy nagar, Near Pochamma Temple, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad.
4. D Dinesh Kumar, S/o D Venkateshwam, aged about 32 Years, Occ. Business, R/o. 3279/10, Ramakrishnapuram, Sainik Nagar Colony, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad.
5. Nadikudi Vithal Yadhav, S/o. Chennappa, aged about 57 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Keshampet Road, Shad Nagar, Farooq Nagar Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.
6. Nadikudi Uma Kumar, S/o. Chennappa, aged about 49 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. Keshampet Road, Shad Nagar, Farooq Nagar Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.
7. Nadikudi Pandu, S/o. Chennappa, aged about 60 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Keshampet Road, Shad Nagar, Farooq Nagar Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.
8. Nadikuda Krishnaiah, S/o. Ramaiah, aged about 70 years, Occ. Agriculture R/o Keshampet Road, Shad Nagar, Farooq Nagar Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.



2026:TSHC:8227

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Shadnagar Division, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar-cum Sub-Registrar, Farooqinagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
5. The Sub-Registrar, Farooqnagar SRO, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not deleting/removing the subject property i.e., land admeasuring Ac. 11.06 guntas in survey no. 626, land admeasuring Ac. 16.09 guntas in survey No.638, land admeasuring Ac. 3.06 guntas in survey no.639 and admeasuring Ac.2.05 guntas in survey no.640 of Chantanapally Village, Farooqinagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District from the prohibitory register in pursuance of the application submitted by the petitioners vide Transaction Id. 302685000020726 dated 20.12.2025 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court in WP.No.556 of 2023 and also to the order passed in WP Nos. 20293 of 2011 and 21889 of 2023 declaring that the subject lands are not wakf lands and in violation of the Article 300A of the of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to delete/remove the subject lands i.e., land admeasuring Ac. 11.06 guntas in survey no. 626, land admeasuring Ac. 16.09 guntas in survey No.638, land admeasuring Ac. 3.06 guntas in survey no.639 and admeasuring Ac.2.05 guntas in survey no.640 of Chantanapally Village, Farooqnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District from prohibitory register maintained by them.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to delete/remove the subject lands i.e., land admeasuring Ac. 11.06 guntas in survey no. 626, land admeasuring Ac. 16.09 guntas in survey No.638, land admeasuring Ac. 3.06 guntas in survey no.639 and admeasuring Ac.2.05 guntas in survey no.640 of Chantanapally Village, Farooqnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District



2026:TSHC:8227

from prohibitory register maintained by them as directed in WP.No. 556 of 2023
forthwith pending disposal of the present Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI S. SRIDHAR

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI SRIDHAR, AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER



2026:TSHC:8227

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.14999 OF 2026

DATE: 05.05.2026

Between:

Mr. Pasham Lakshman Goud S/o. P. Kishtaiah,
aged about 66 years, Occ: Business,
R/o. H.No.3-54, Palamakul Village,
Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District
and seven others

.. Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by the Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad & 4 others

.. Respondents

ORDER : (ORAL)

This writ petition is filed by the petitioners aggrieved by the action of the respondents' authorities in not removing their land admeasuring Acs.11-06 guntas in Survey No.626, Acs.16-09 guntas in Survey No.638, Acs.3-06 guntas in Survey No.639 and Acs.2-05 guntas in Survey No.640 of Chantanapally Village, Farooqnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, from the prohibitory register pursuant to the on-line application No.302685000020726



dated 20.12.2025 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the orders of this Court in W.P. Nos.556 of 2023, 20293 of 2011 and 21889 of 2023 dated 20.03.2024 whereby the subject lands are declared as not wakf lands and in violation of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India.

2.1. The case of the petitioners is that one Mr. Mohd. Razzak Hussain was pattadar of the land in Survey Nos.382, 383, 385 (old) corresponding to new Survey Nos.626, 638, 639 and 640 of Chantanapally Village, Farooqnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. As per the original Sethwar and Vasul Baki Registers of Chetanpally Village, Mr. Mohd. Razzak Hussain was owner of the land admeasuring Acs.113-00 guntas. As per Pahani of 1958-59, one Mr. Mohd. Gulam Nabhi Shah was pattadar of the above land and one Mr. Nadikudi Ramaiah was shown as possessor of the land in Survey Nos.626 (11.06 Guntas), 638 (Acs.16-09 guntas), Survey No.639 (Acs.3-06 guntas) and Survey No.640 (Acs.2-05 guntas).

2.2. After the death of original pattadars, lands were succeeded by one Mr. Ahmed Ali Siddiqui through succession.



Mr. Ahmed Ali Siddiqui filed declarations under the Land Ceiling Act wherein it is clearly stated that the protected tenant Mr. Nadikudi Ramaiah was in possession of Acs.32-36 guntas. As per the judgment of the Land Reforms Appellate Tribunal in LRAT Case No.230 of 1977, the land held by Mr. Nadikudi Ramaiah was deleted from the holding of the pattadar and Mr. Nadikudi Ramaiah was recognized as tenant of the said land. Subsequently, Mr. Nadikudi Ramaiah was declared as protected tenant and later his legal representatives succeeded to the protected tenancy rights over the subject land and the same was confirmed by respondent No.3 - the Revenue Divisional Officer, Shadnagar Division, Ranga Reddy District by the order in File No.K/1666/2008 dated 06.06.2009. This order was challenged by the legal heirs of Mr. Ahmed Ali Siddiqui by preferring an appeal before the Joint Collector and the same was dismissed by the order in Case No.F1/08/2009 & File No.F1/1834/2009 dated 16.05.2011.

2.3. It is submitted that out of the land admeasuring Acs.32-26 guntas held by the legal representatives of Mr. Nadikudi Ramaiah, an extent of Acs.11-08 guntas and Acs.4-15 guntas were



sold by them in favour of petitioner Nos.1 to 3 under the registered sale deeds dated 18.07.2019 and 09.06.2020 vide document Nos.20501 of 2019 and 7467 of 2020. Since then, the petitioners are in possession and enjoyment of the said lands. Petitioner Nos.1 to 3 have applied for conversion of the land to an extent of Acs.11-08 guntas from agriculture to non-agriculture and respondent No.3 - the Revenue Divisional Officer, Shadnagar Division, Ranga Reddy District passed order dated 07.03.2020 converting the land from agriculture to non-agriculture.

2.4. While so, the petitioners came to know that total extent of Acs.32-26 guntas of land which includes the subject land of petitioner Nos.1 to 3 was recorded as prohibitory land in the prohibitory register of the Sub-Registrar, Farookhnagar as wakf land and on requisition of the Wakf Board, the respondents unilaterally cancelled the sale deeds executed in favour of petitioner Nos.1 to 3 by their vendors on 07.07.2022. Aggrieved thereby, petitioner Nos.1 to 3 filed W.P. No.566 of 2023 and this Court suspended cancellation of the sale deeds of petitioner Nos.1 to 3 and subsequently the writ petition was disposed of directing



the petitioners to make an application to respondent No.3 for removal of the subject lands from the prohibitory register while setting aside the cancellation deeds.

3. Heard Mr. S. Sridhar, learned counsel for the petitioners; Mr. L. Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue; and perused the material on record.

4. Mr. S. Sridhar, learned counsel for the petitioners, submitted that the notification whereby the subject lands were declared as wakf lands have been challenged by filing W.P. Nos.20293 of 2011 and 21889 of 2023 and the same were allowed by the order dated 20.03.2024 holding that the subject lands are not wakf lands. By way of abundant caution, the petitioners submitted application to the Wakf Board requesting to instruct the respondents to remove the subject lands from the prohibitory register. In response, the Wakf Board informed the petitioners by the letter dated 15.04.2024 that since the subject lands were declared as not the property of the Wakf Board by this Court by the order in W.P. Nos.20293 of 2011 and 21889 of 2023



dated 20.03.2024, the petitioners have to approach the respondents for deletion of the subject lands from the prohibitory register. Accordingly, the petitioners have submitted on-line Application No.302685000020726 dated 20.12.2025 through Bhu Bharati Portal in compliance of the order of this Court in W.P. No.556 of 2023 dated 20.03.2024. However, the said application is not being considered by the respondents. Hence, this writ petition.

5. Mr. L. Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, submitted that application of the petitioner will be considered in accordance with law.

6. In the circumstances, recording the aforesaid submission of the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, the writ petition is disposed of, at the admission stage itself, directing respondent No.2 - the District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, to consider on-line Application No.302685000020726 dated 20.12.2025 submitted by the petitioners through Bhu Bharati Portal in compliance of the orders of this Court in W.P. No.556 of 2023 dated 20.03.2024 for deletion of the subject lands from the



prohibitory list, by issuing notice to the petitioners and other interested parties and also by affording opportunity of hearing to them, and to pass orders in accordance with law within a period of two (2) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in the writ petition stand closed.

SD/- AHMED ABDULLAH KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

6

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, The State of Telangana, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Shadnagar Division, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar-cum Sub-Registrar, Faroochinagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
5. The Sub-Registrar, Faroochinagar SRO, Ranga Reddy District.
6. One CC to Sri S. Sridhar, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
8. Two CD Copies

TJ 48
BS



2026:TSHC:8227

HIGH COURT

CC TODAY

DATED: 05/05/2026



ORDER

WP.No.14999 of 2026

**DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

11 copies
18
19/5/26