

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No.489 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	04.05.2026	<u>SKS, J</u> <u>CrI.A.No.489 of 2026</u> Admit. Notice. Registry is directed to call for the records from the learned trial Court, prepare paper book and place the same on the bundle by the next date of hearing. Post on 07.07.2026. <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> This application is filed by the petitioner-accused seeking to suspend the Judgment, dated 26.03.2026 in S.C.No.22 of 2024 passed by the learned I Additional District & Sessions Judge, Jagtial, whereby the petitioner was found guilty of the offences punishable under Sections 376 (2)(n), 323 & 506 of IPC and accordingly he was convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of ten years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/-, in default he shall suffer simple imprisonment for six months for the offence under Section 376 (2)(n) of I.P.C; he also sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months and to pay fine of Rs.500/-, in default he shall suffer simple	

		imprisonment for ten days for the offence under Section 323 of I.P.C.; he also sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of six months and to pay fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default he shall suffer simple imprisonment for one month for the offence under Section 506 of I.P.C. Heard Sri Madas Bharath Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against them; that the evidence on record is not sufficient to found petitioner guilty of the offences alleged against him; that P.W.3, who is husband of the victim clearly deposed that they are in relationship for the last five years; that basing on the evidence of P.Ws.1 and 2, the learned trial Court erroneously convicted the petitioner and hence, he prays to suspend the sentence imposed against the petitioner and grant bail to the petitioner. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that there is no illegality in the evidence of the learned trial Court discussed on the contentions raised by the petitioner; that there is no merit in the petitioner and hence, he requested this Court to dismiss the petition. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel petitioner and taking into	
--	--	--	--

		consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the sentence of imprisonment imposed against the petitioner alone is hereby suspended pending disposal of the appeal and the petitioner shall be released on bail on condition of petitioner executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge at Jagtial. During bail, the petitioner/appellant/ shall not indulge in any criminal acts. SKS,J YVL	
--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--