

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WP NO: 14698 OF 2026

Between:

1. Sri. M. Ramesh, S/o. Late. M. Anjaiah.
2. Sri. P. Venu Kumar, S/o. Sri. P. Ashok Kumar.
3. Sri. Omprakash Reddy Kalichetti, S/o. Sri. K. Bujji Reddy.
4. Sri. Cherreddy Venugopal Reddy, S/o. Pattabhi Rami Reddy.
5. R. Bharath, S/o. Late. R Shankar.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary Revenue Department Dr B R Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat Buildings Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Integrated District Office Complexes, Kongara Kalan Village, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana - 501510.
3. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, TS
4. The Municipal Commissioner, Serilingampally Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, TS
5. Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency HYDRAA, Rep. by its Commissioner O/o Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department Secretariat BR Ambedkar Bhavan Hyderabad TS
6. M/s. Samatha Co-Operative Housing Society, Rep. by its President, Sri. K.K. Chary S/o. Brahmaiah, Regd. Office at Miyapur Village, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased issue a Writ Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS a declaring the action of the respondents without issuing any notice and trying to interfere with the peaceful possession and occupation of land of the petitioners to an extent of 1800 Square yards out of the total extent of land purchased by the petitioners in Sy. No. 69 of Miyapur

Village, Serilingampally Revenue Mandal, Ranga Reddy District., without following due process of law is illegal arbitrary and violation of Article 14 and 300A of Constitution of India apart from violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioners from their land to an extent 1800 Square yards out of the total extent of land purchased by the petitioners in Sy. No. 69 of Miyapur Village, Serilingampally Revenue Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim direction not to dispossess the petitioners from their land to an extent 1800 Square yards out of Ac. 9-00 Gts., in Sy. No. 69 of Miyapur Village, Serilingampally Revenue Mandal, Ranga Reddy District., pending disposal of WP No 14698 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI C.B. RAM MOHAN REDDY, SENIOR COUNSEL APPEARING FOR SRI C.B. SIDDARTH Advocate for the Petitioners, SRI L. RAVINDER, AGP FOR REVENUE for the Respondents no. 1 to 3, SRI G. MADUSUDHAN REDDY, Standing Counsel for the Respondent no.4 and SRI SAMEER AHMED Standing Counsel for HYDRAA for the Respondent no.5, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

Mr. L. Ravinder, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, based on written instructions, submitted that the land admeasuring Acs.19-14 guntas in Survey No.69/1 of Miyapur Village is classified as 'Sarkari' and belongs to Government; the land has been included in the prohibitory properties list under Section 22A of the Registration Act; constructions over the subject property is prohibited; the land to an extent of Acs.27-22 guntas in Survey No.69 is classified as 'patta'; the petitioners are having ownership over the land in Survey No.69, however they are trying to occupy the Government land in Survey No.69/1, as such, the field staff of respondent No.3 prevented encroachments in the Government land.

Learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.5 - HYDRAA submitted that on requisition given by respondent No.3 to prevent encroachments of Government land in Survey No.69/1, action is being initiated.

Mr. C.B. Ram Mohan Reddy, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for Mr. C.B. Siddharth, learned counsel for the petitioners, submitted that the subject land admeasuring 1800 square yards, out of Acs.9-00 guntas, in Survey No.69 of Miyapur Village is purchased by the petitioners under registered sale deeds. The vendor of the petitioners has title to the property by virtue of the registered sale deed bearing document No.14851 of 1997 dated 04.01.1997 (Ex.P1).

Learned Senior Counsel further submitted that in civil suit, being O.S.No.475 of 2012 on the file of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District, filed by N. Manohar Goud and others against Ushodaya Enclave and others, survey was conducted with the assistance of Advocate Commissioner, on the basis of DGPS data and by superimposing two village maps of Survey No.69 of Miyapur and Survey No.23 of Madinaguda along with Traverse data of Miyapur Village, and it was found that there is overlap of Survey No.69 of Miyapur Village and Survey No.23 of Madinaguda Village.

However, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue and the learned Standing Counsel for HYDRAA submitted that the Government is not a party to the suit, and the proceedings therein including survey report are not binding on them.

It appears from the record that building permission earlier granted to the petitioners on 22.08.2023 was revoked *vide* proceedings in Lr.No.319614/GHMC/15004/2023 dated 20.09.2023 and the same was challenged in W.P.No.29057 of 2023, which was allowed by order dated 17.10.2023 setting aside the revocation proceedings dated 20.09.2023.

In view of the above, there shall be interim direction to the respondents not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the petitioners in respect of the subject land admeasuring 1800 square yards, out of Ac.9-00 guntas, in Survey No.69 of Miyapur Village, Serilingampally Revenue Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due process of law. The petitioners are directed not to make any construction in the subject property without obtaining building permission. Respondent Nos.3, 4 and 5 are at liberty to take action against the petitioners, including survey and demarcation in respect of the subject land, by following due process of law.

List on 22.06.2026.

Sd/- M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

26

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary Revenue Department Dr B R Ambedkar Telangana State Secretariat Buildings, State of Telangana, Hyderabad
2. The District Collector, Integrated District Office Complexes, Kongara Kalan Village, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana - 501510.
3. The Tahsildar, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, TS

4. The Municipal Commissioner, Serilingampally Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, TS
5. The Commissioner, Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency HYDRAA, O/o Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department Secretariat BR Ambedkar Bhavan Hyderabad TS
6. Sri. K.K. Chary S/o. Brahmaiah, President, M/s. Samatha Co-Operative Housing Society, Regd. Office at Miyapur Village, Ranga Reddy District.
(Addressees 1 to 6 BY SPAD)
7. One CC to SRI C.B. SIDDARTH Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
9. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR, J

DATED: 04-05-2026

LIST ON 22.06.2026

ORDER



WP.No.14698 of 2026

INTERIM DIRECTION