

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:  
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL  
WP NO: 15093 OF 2026**

**Between**

Samala Naveen Kumar, S/o.Mailaiah

**Petitioner**

**AND**

1. The State Of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue (Excise) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. The Deputy Commissioner of Proh and Excise, Medak Division.
3. The Station House Officer, Patancheru Prohibition and Excise Station, Sangareddy District
4. MD. Anwar, S/o. Md. Musthafa, R/o. H.No.4-5-49, Indira Colony, Sangareddy, Sangareddy District.

**Respondents**

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI ARVAPALLI SHIVA SAI NATH presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue Order or direction more particularly a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in conducting auction of the petitioners vehicle bearing Registration No. UP-16-AV-1118 on 22.12.2025 and confirming the same on 23.12.2025 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional, and consequently set aside the said auction proceedings and direct the respondents to restore the vehicle to the petitioner or, in the alternative, grant appropriate and reasonable compensation for the loss caused.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. ARVAPALLI SHIVA SAI NATH Advocate for the Petitioner, Sri M Srinivas, ASST GP FOR PROHIBITION AND EXCISE, for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.4, herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

**You viz:**

MD. Anwar, S/o. Md. Musthafa, R/o. H.No.4-5-49, Indira Colony, Sangareddy, Sangareddy District.

are directed to show cause on or before 14.07.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER**

**Notice before admission.**

**Mr. M.Srinivas, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise, takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3, waives further notice and seeks time to get instructions.**

**Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent No.4 through Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of the same by the next date of hearing.**

**List on 14.07.2026.**

**Sd/- AHMAD ABDULLA KHAN  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

  
**SECTION OFFICER**

**To,**

1. MD. Anwar, S/o. Md. Musthafa, R/o. H.No.4-5-49, Indira Colony, Sangareddy, Sangareddy District..[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
2. One CC to Sri. ARVAPALLI SHIVA SAI NATH Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVV, J

DATED: 05-05-2026

List on 14.07.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.15093 of 2026

