

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No: WRIT PETITION No.14835 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	20.07.2026	<u>SK, J</u> <u>W.P.No.14835 of 2026</u> Notice before admission. Learned Government Pleader for Services-I takes notice on behalf of the respondents, waive further notices and seek time to file counter. The Registry is directed not to issue notice to the respondents in view of the above waiver. Post after six (6) weeks. List on 21.09.2026. <u>SK, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> in <u>W.P.No.14835 of 2026</u> Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-I appearing for the respondents. Perused the material on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, without issuing any charge memos, the respondents have sanctioned only 75% of the provisional pension to the petitioner in terms of Rule 9(4) and Rule 52 of Revised Pension Rules, 1980, through proceedings <i>vide</i> G.O.Rt.No.40, School Education (Vigilance) Department dated 02.03.2026. On the other hand, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-I, basing on the written instructions, the respondents are in the	<i>Transferred to IO folder before making corrections, if any.</i> ...contd.

	process of issuing charge memo to the petitioner and draft article of charges has been prepared. Learned Assistant Government Pleader further submits that they have issued impugned order for sanction of the provisional pension and seeks time to file counter. After hearing both sides and perusing the material on record, this Court is of the considered view that that the petitioner retired from service on 30.04.2025 and as on the said date, no charge memo or disciplinary proceedings were pending against the petitioner. In spite of that, the respondents issued impugned proceedings <i>vide</i> G.O.Rt.No.40, School Education (Vigilance) Department dated 02.03.2026, for sanction of the provisional pension to the petitioner. The contention of the respondents is that in spite of no disciplinary proceedings were pending, they had prepared draft article of charges and the same yet to be finalised. In view of the same, the said action is contrary to the rules and regulations for issuing impugned proceedings for granting provisional pension to the petitioner. As on date, there is charge memo or disciplinary proceedings are pending against the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the release of regular pension along with all consequential pensionary benefits. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this is a fit case to grant an interim order by directing the respondents to release all the pensionary benefits to the petitioner.	
--	--	--

Accordingly, the respondents are directed to process and sanction the regular pension to the petitioner along with all other consequential pensionary benefits within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Krk

SK, J