

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
WEDNESDAY ,THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY
WRIT PETITION NO: 11126 OF 2015

Between:

M/S. SMAAT INDIA PVT.LTD., 3-9/554/9A, Ragala Enclave Hyderabad-68
 represented by its Managing Director, M. Karunakar Reddy S/o Kista Reddy,
 aged about 40 yrs, O/o 3-9-554/9A, Ragala Enclave Hyderabad-68.

Petitioner

AND

1. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad, Hyderabad
 represented by its Commissioner.
2. The Commissioner, The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation
 Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent Engineer, North Zorie, The Greater Hyderabad Municipal
 Corporation Hyderabad.
4. M/s Shivohom sales Corporation, plot No. 79, Krishna Enclave, Military Diary
 Farm Road, Kanajiguda, Thirumalgherry, Secunderabad - 500 003,
 Telangana State.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more specifically one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of 3rd respondent in blacklisting me vide impugned proceeding no. /SE/NZ/GHMC/2014-15/32 dt. 24.1.2015 b) The consequential award of the contract of installation of RO plants at GHMC limits in favour of the 4th respondent vide impugned proceeding Intimation Letter No.CE/(M)/TA-II/K-6/GHMC/2014- 2015/230 as illegal, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and violative of Article 14,19 and 21 of Constitution of India in the interest of justice and issue a consequential direction to the respondents to forthwith reconsider the tenders in response to the notification e procurement NIT No.23/SE/NZ/GHMC/2014-2015(Item No.) dt.10-10-2014. including consideration of the valid tenders submitted by me;

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI S SRI RAM Advocate for the Petitioner, SRI P KRISHNA REDDY for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Learned Counsel for petitioner is present.

Learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 seeks time to file counter.

No representation for respondent No.4.

Post on 26.11.2025, finally, failing which, respondent Nos.2 and 3 shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- to the High Court Legal Services Committee.

SD/-P.CH.NAGABHUSHAMBA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent Engineer, North Zone, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Hyderabad.
4. M/s Shivohom sales Corporation, plot No. 79, Krishna Enclave, Military Diary Farm Road, Kanajiguda, Thirumalgherry, Secunderabad - 500 003, Telangana State. (RR 1 to 4 by RPAD)
5. The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, High Court at Hyderabad.
6. The Section Officer, Writ (Non-service) Section, High Court at Hyderabad.
7. One CC to SRI. S SRI RAM Advocate [OPUC]
8. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNAJ

DATED:29/10/2025

POST ON 26.11.2025

ORDER

WP.No.11126 of 2015

DIRECTION

