

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY
I.A.No. 1 OF 2025

IN

CRLA NO: 447 OF 2020

Between

Mukesh Kumar Yadav , Mukesh , Munna, S/O Munnulal Yadav.

...PETITIONER/Appellant

(Appellant in CRLA NO: 447 OF 2020
on the file of High Court)

AND

State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court For the State of
Telangana, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/Respondent
(Respondent in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI J RAMMOHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondent: SRI M. RAMACHANDRA REDDY,
Additional PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (TG)

Appeal under Section 430 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL APPEAL, the High Court may be to suspend the execution of sentence passed against the petitioner/Appellant in S.C.No.135 of 2013 on the file of the X Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge — Cum — Special Sessions Judge for Trial of Atrocities against Women — I, Hyderabad and release him on bail, pending disposal of CRLA No 447 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following

ORDER

Heard Sri J.Rammohan Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner/Sole Accused and Mr. M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

This application is filed by the petitioner/Sole Accused seeking regular bail.

Vide impugned judgment dated 22.01.2020, learned X Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge-cum-Special Sessions Judge for Trial of Atrocities Against Women-I, Hyderabad, convicted him for the offences punishable under Section 376(2)(f) of IPC and sentenced him to undergo life imprisonment.

P.W.1 is the victim girl. She has specifically deposed about the petitioner's committing offence against her. She was 15 years in the year 2011 and she was studying VII Class. P.W.4 is the Doctor, who treated P.W.1/victim girl. She also specifically stated that there is evidence of recent sexual intercourse. She gave Ex.P3/final opinion based on Ex.P2 FSL report. On considering the said aspects only, learned trial Court convicted the petitioner/accused.

Prima facie, there are specific overt acts committed the petitioner and the offence committed by him is grave and heinous. Therefore, the petitioner/accused is not falling under any one of the parameters laid down by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in *Batchu Rangarao & others v. State of Andhra Pradesh*¹. Therefore, we are not inclined to grant bail to him.

In the light of the aforesaid discussion, this petition is liable to be dismissed, and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

Sd/- M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge — Cum — Special Sessions Judge for Trial of Atrocities against Women — I, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintendent, Special Prison Chanchalguda, Hyderabad.
3. The Station House Officer, P.S., Kachiguda, Hyderabad.
4. One CC to Sri. J RAMMOHAN RAO Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (TG) Advocate [OPUC]
6. One spare copy



HIGH COURT

**KL, J
&
VRKR, J**

DATED: 20-07-2026

ORDER

**I.A.No. 1 OF 2025
IN
CRLA NO: 447 OF 2020**

I.A.DISMISSED

