

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN

**:PRESENT:
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY -**

WRIT PETITION NO: 14967 OF 2019



Between:

1. N. Aravind Karthick, S/o P. Natarajan,
2. Prabakaran A.K., S/o A. Kolanchiyappan,
3. Natta Habravys, S/o Natta Bajali,
4. Aditya Lakshmi, D/o T.L Ravichandran,
5. Githanjali Sabu, D/o Sabu CT,
6. Sushmita Kumari, D/o Ram Kewal Mahto,

....Petitioners

AND

1. The Union of India, Rep. by the Principal Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource and Development Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi-110001
2. The Acting Registrar, TISS Mumbai, V.N.Purav Marg Deonar, Mumbai-400088
3. The Deputy Director (Acting), TISS Hyderabad K.B Sainik School premises Brahmannapalli Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal Ranga Reddy District-501510
4. The Chairman, Governing Body TISS Mumbai, V.N.Purav Marg Deonar, Mumbai-400088
5. The University Grant Commission, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg New Delhi-110002
6. Dr.C.N.Reddy, Private Service Provider Occ. Principal, K.B Sainik School Brahmannapalli Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal Rangareddy District
7. The Commissioner of Police, Rachakoda Police Station, Rachakonda.

....Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate SRI.V.RAGHUNATH presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declare the action of Respondent No.2 herein in issuing the impugned Notice dated.15.07.2019 suspending the academic activities of Respondent No. 3 Hyderabad off campus indefinitely, as being illegal, Arbitrary, Discriminatory and violative of Article 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and regulations of Respondent No.2 herein and consequently direct the Respondent Nos.2, 3 & 4 to implement the dt. 20-12-2018

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI.V.RAGHUNATH Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.6 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Dr.C.N.Reddy, Private Service Provider Occ. Principal, K.B Sainik School, Brahmannapalli Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal Rangareddy District

are directed to show cause as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following Order:

**Heard learned counsel for petitioners.
Notice to 6th respondent.**

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out notice to 6th respondent by RPAD and file proof of service.

Learned Standing Counsel for R2 to R4 submits that in fact, by the time of admission itself, in the admission brochure, they have specified that no hostel facility will be provided, but, however, they have made some arrangements through service provider; and the they have also taken necessary precautions; inspite of the same, the students are not satisfied.

Post after two weeks in Motion List.

Sd/- T.KRISHNA KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Dr.C.N.Reddy, Private Service Provider Occ. Principal , K.B Sainik School
Brahmannapalli Village, Abdullapurmet Mandal Rangareddy District (by RPAD along
with copy of petiton and affidavit)
2. One CC to Sri.K.Lakshman, Assistant Solicitor General, High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
3. One CC to SRI. V RAGHUNATH Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to the GP for Home (TG), High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One spare copy



HIGH COURT

ARR,J

DATED: 05.8.2019

NOTE: POST AFTER TWO WEEKS IN MOTION LIST

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.NO.14967 OF 2019



2