



2025:TSHC:60933

[3383]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO: 31596 OF 2014

Between:

M.Gopi Gowd, S/o Adavaiah Goud, Hindu, aged about 49 years, Occ: Business R/o 6-57, Rayadurg Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District Rep.by its G.P.A. Holder M.Suresh Basavaiah S/o Sundar Rao, aged 58 years, Occ Business R/o 11-4-646, Sai Ashirvad Apartments, F.No. 401, AC Gauds, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep.by its Principal, Secretary, Department of Municipal Administration and Urban Development, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad, Rep.by its Commissioner, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of GHMC, Serilingampally Circle, Ranga Reddy District.
4. Smt. V.Deepthi, W/o B.Ananth Das, Aged 30 years, Doctor R/o 3-5-1093/2, Venkateswara Colony, Narayanguda, Hyderabad.
5. C.Prem Kumar Gupta, S/o C.E.Gupta, Aged 50 years, Occ Business, R/o 839-E, Road No. 42, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, for a direction to the Respondents 1 to 3 not to allow any constructions under the guise of subsequent layout in the petitioners property bearing No. 1-65/26, in Plot No. 7, in Sy.No. 60 admeasuring 400 Sq.Yards situated at Guttala Begumpet Village, Serilingampally Mandal, R.R. District obtained by the Respondents 4 and 5 without issuing any notice to the petitioner



2025:TSHC.60933

2

3. Sri G.Madhusudhan Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3, is present.

4. When the Writ Petition was listed on 18.11.2025 and 04.12.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and as such, this Court directed the matter to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

5. Even though the matter is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal', none appears for the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-M. JAWAHAR REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI M. JANARDHAN RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI G. MADHUSUDHAN REDDY, S.C. for GHMC [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

MP


BS



2025:TSHC:60933

HIGH COURT

DATED:16/12/2025



ORDER

WP.No.31596 of 2014

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑦ JKS
18/4/26.