

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 13275 OF 2026

Between:

P. Srinivas Reddy, S/o Savaraiah, Aged about 40 years, Occ. Agriculture-cum-Labour, R/o Gorlonibai Village, Kothapally Mandal, Narayanpet District.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, for Co-Operative Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Co-Operative Bank Ltd, Mahaboobnagar, Rep. by its Chief Executive Officer.
3. The Joint Registrar Officer on Spl Duty, DCCB, Mahaboobnagar, Mahaboobnagar District.
4. The Dist. Co-Operative Central Bank Ltd, Narayanpet Branch, Narayanpet District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the auction notice in Form No. 9 dated 24.03.2026 issued by Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, scheduling the auction on 24.04.2026, as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, and violative of the principles of natural justice, as well as Articles 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India, and consequently set aside the same, and further be pleased to grant reasonable time to the petitioner to repay the outstanding loan amount in suitable installments.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2026

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings pursuant to the auction notice in Form No. 9 dated 24.03.2026 issued by Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, including the proposed auction scheduled on 24.04.2026.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI B.SHIVA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : AGP FOR COOPERATION

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4 : -

The Court made the following ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

WRIT PETITION No.13275 of 2026

DATE: 23.04.2026

BETWEEN :

P. Srinivas Reddy

... **Petitioner**

And

The State of Telangana,
Co-operative Societies Department
Hyderabad & 3 others

... **Respondents**

ORDER

**Heard Sri B.Shiva Kumar, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned Assistant
Government Pleader for Co-operation, appearing on
behalf of the Respondents.**

**2. The petitioner approached this Court seeking the
prayer as under:**

**"...to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more
particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus,**

declaring the auction notice in Form No.9 dated 24.03.2026 issued by Respondent Nos.2 and 3, scheduling the auction on 24.04.2026, as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, and violative of the principles of natural justice, as well as Articles 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India, and consequently set aside the same, and further be pleased to grant reasonable time to the petitioner to repay the outstanding loan amount in suitable installments, and pass such other order..."

3. The case of the petitioner, in brief, is that the petitioner is the absolute owner and possessor of agricultural land admeasuring Ac.6.08 guntas in various survey numbers situated at Gorlonibai Village, Kothapally Mandal, Narayanpet District. The petitioner had availed a NABARD loan of Rs.4,50,000/- from the respondent bank on 21.11.2011 for the purchase of buffaloes, which was disbursed in two installments on 01.03.2012 and 06.09.2012. After purchasing four buffaloes, the petitioner repaid about Rs.1,00,000/- in 2012. In 2013, due to adverse weather conditions, the buffaloes died, and the petitioner informed the bank, which assured settlement through insurance. Owing to drought, the petitioner migrated for livelihood, believing the loan was

settled. On returning on 09.04.2026, the petitioner had discovered an auction notice dated 24.03.2026 proposing sale of his entire land on 24.04.2026 for alleged dues of Rs.3,50,000/-. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner had approached this court by filing the present writ petition.

4. PERUSED THE RECORD.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION :

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the writ petition could be disposed of by directing the petitioner to submit a representation to the respondents 2 and 3, within a reasonable period and further directing the said respondents 2 and 3 to consider the request of the petitioner for grant of reasonable time to the petitioner to repay the outstanding loan amount in suitable installments, in accordance to law.

6. Learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents does not dispute the said submission made by the leaned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

7. Taking into consideration:

(a) The aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case,

(b) The submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents,

The petitioner is directed to submit a representation to the Respondents 2 and 3 herein, within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order putting forth the pleas as put forth in the present writ petition and upon the petitioner submitting the Representation to the Respondents 2 and 3, the Respondents 2 and 3 are directed to consider the request of the petitioner for grant of reasonable time to repay the outstanding loan amount in suitable installments, in accordance to law, and pass appropriate orders thereon, within the period of two weeks thereafter on receipt of the said representation of the petitioner. Till the above exercise is initiated and

concluded by passing appropriate orders on the representation to be submitted by the petitioner, within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of the order as per the directions as issued above, within the time period as stipulated as above, the respondents are directed not to proceed with the auction of the subject property of the petitioner herein that is total land to an extent of Ac.6.08 Gts., situated in Sy.Nos.71 to an extent of Ac.0.20 Gts., Sy.No.96/2 to an extent of Ac.0.25 Gts., Sy.No. 98 to an extent of Ac.1.00 Gts., Sy. No. 98/1 to an extent of Ac.0.17 Gts., Sy.No.98/ఁ Ac.1.01 Gts., Sy.No. 100/అ to an extent of Ac.0.16 Gts., Sy.No. 126/అ/ఁ to an extent of Ac.0.24 Gts., Sy.No.127/అ/అ to an extent of 1.05 Gts.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

SD/-K. BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

6
SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary for Co-Operative Dept., Secretariat, State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, District Co-Operative Bank Ltd, Mahaboobnagar.
3. The Joint Registrar Officer on Spl Duty, DCCB, Mahaboobnagar, Mahaboobnagar District.
4. The Dist. Co-Operative Central Bank Ltd, Narayanpet Branch, Narayanpet District.

5. Two CCs to GP FOR COOPERATION, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
6. One CC to SRI B.SHIVA KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CD Copies


SA
GJP

Puf

C.C. TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED: 23/04/2026



ORDER

WP.No.13275 of 2026

**DISPOSING OF THE W.P
WITHOUT COSTS.**

(10) copies
per
28/04/2026