



2025:TSHC:56737

[3217]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

MONDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NO: 12465 OF 2018

Between:

Sri E. Venkateswara Rao, S/o E. Jagannadha Rao, Aged about 39 years, Occ
Business, R/o H.No.2-6-981, KLN Reddy Colony, Circuit House Road,
Hanmakonda, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Home Department, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad,
2. The Director General of Police, State of Telangana, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Banjara Hills, Banjara Hills Division, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
4. The Station House Officer, Banjara Hills, Police Station, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.
5. Shapurji Pallonji and Company Pvt Ltd., (Contractors), APDL Estates, Opp Country Club, Begumpet, Hyderabad-500016, Tel

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue one or more writ or writs more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the illegal action of the respondents No.2 to 4 in encroaching into the property of the petitioner part of House No. 8-2-684/Z/R/7, admeasuring 418 Sq. yards in Sy.No.403/P, T.S. No.5, Block-H, Ward 10, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District by making construction through the 5th respondent-contractor in the property as highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and excess of its jurisdiction and also in violation of Articles 14, 16, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and to consequently direct the respondents



2025:TSHC:56737

No.2 to 4 not to make any construction through the 5th respondent-contractor in the property of the petitioner part of house No. 8-2-684/Z/R/7, admeasuring 418 Sq. yards in Sy.No.403/P, T.S. No.5, Block-H, Ward 10, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151-CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to make any construction in the property of the petitioner bearing part of House No. 8-2-684/Z/R/7, admeasuring 418 Sq. yards in Sy.No.403/P, T.S. No.5, Block-H, Ward 10, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District pending disposal of the writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI K RAMAKANTH REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 : SRI D. PRADEEP, AGP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No. 5 : ----

The Court made the following: ORDER



2025:TSHC:56737

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION No.12465 OF 2018

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking the following relief/s:-

“...to issue one or more writ or writs more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the illegal action of the respondents No.2 to 4 in encroaching into the property of the petitioner part of House No. 8-2-684/Z/R/7, admeasuring 418 Sq. yards in Sy.No.403/P, T.S. No.5, Block-H, Ward 10, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District by making construction through the 5th respondent-contractor in the property as highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and excess of its jurisdiction and also in violation of Articles 14, 16, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and to consequently direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to make any construction through the 5th respondent-contractor in the property of the petitioner part of house No. 8-2-684/Z/R/7, admeasuring 418 Sq. yards in Sy.No.403/P, T.S. No.5, Block-H, Ward 10, Shaikpet Mandal, Hyderabad District and pass.....”

2. Heard Mr.K.Ramakanth Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.D.Pradeep, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause of action in the present writ petition no longer survives for adjudication and, therefore, seeks dismissal of the writ petition as infructuous.



2025:TSHC:56737

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader raises no objection to the said submission.

5. Recording the submissions of both learned counsel, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- M.JAWAHAR REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI K RAMAKANTH REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

PVL
TKS



2025:TSHC:56737

HIGH COURT

DATED:03/11/2025



ORDER

WP.No.12465 of 2018

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS

6
ASW
2/2/26