

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 13205 OF 2026

Between:

Jala Narasaiah, S/o Bachaiah, Aged about 67yrs, Occ. Rtd. RTC Employee,
R/o H.No.5-14, Goldsmith Bazar, Chityala Town and Mandal, Nalgonda District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana,, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariate, Hyderabad.
2. The Director General of Police, State of Telangana, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda District.
4. The Station House Officer,, Nalgonda Rural Police Station, Nalgonda District.
5. Saida Babu,, S/o not known, Aged . Major, Occ. S.I of Police, Nalgonda Rural Police Station, Nalgonda District.
6. Mallela Divya @ Dasari Divya,, D/o Mallela Narsing Rao, Aged about 32yrs, Occ. Household, R/o H.No.3-106/3, injapur, Prakas Nagar Colony, Hayathnagar, Rangareddy District.
7. Dasari Rajender Prasad,, S/o D.Eshwaraiah, Aged about 42yrs, Occ. not known, R/o H.No.3-106/3, injapur, Prakas Nagar Colony, Hayathnagar, Rangareddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of Respondent Nos.4 and 5 in interfering in the civil dispute and harassing the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and

Consequently direct the Respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.4 and 5, not to interfere in the civil dispute between the petitioner and Respondent Nos.6 and 7

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondent Nos.4 and 5 not to summon, detain or harass the petitioner in connection with the civil dispute between the petitioner and Respondent Nos.6 and 7, except in accordance with due process of law and not to interfere in the civil dispute, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI SANJEEVA REDDY GARLAPATI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 5 to 7 : -----

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION No.13205 of 2026

DATE OF ORDER: 27.04.2026

Between:

Jala Narasaiah

...Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Home Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and 6 others

...Respondents

ORDER :

The writ petition is filed seeking the following relief:

"...to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of Respondent Nos.4 and 5 in interfering in the civil dispute and harassing the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents particularly respondent Nos.4 and 5 not to interfere in the civil dispute between the petitioner and respondent Nos.6 and 7 and pass..."

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M.Srinivas, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the owner and possessor of the property admeasuring 1210 Sq.yards in Sy.No.1427 situated at Ward

No.10, Block No.5, Arjalabai Area, Nalgonda District and sold the same in favour of respondent No.6 under duly registered sale deed bearing document No.1969 of 2024, dated 06.02.2024. He further submits that, after completion of the said sale transaction, respondent Nos.6 and 7 have started demanding the petitioner to return the sale consideration amount and to take back the land. As the petitioner refused the said demand, respondent Nos.6 and 7 have approached respondent No.5 and influenced him to interfere in the matter. He further submits that the writ petition was filed on 22.04.2026 and a case in Crime No.88 of 2026 was registered against the petitioner on 25.04.2026 i.e., prior to registration of FIR, respondent No.4, is exerting pressure upon the petitioner by interfering with the personal life and liberty of the petitioner under the pretext of investigation. Aggrieved there by, the writ petition is filed and seeking a direction to restrain respondent No.4 from taking any illegal action against the petitioner. The petitioner is willing to cooperate with the investigation and to present his defense, if any, if the investigation is conducted in

accordance with law. Stating so, he would seek to pass appropriate orders.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home furnished a copy of written instructions stating that, on the complaint filed by respondent No.6, a case in Crime No.88 of 2026 is registered against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Sections 420 and 506 of IPC before Nalgonda Rural Police Station, Nalgonda District and alleged that respondent Nos.6 and 7 have purchased the property admeasuring 1210 Sq.yards in Sy.No.1427 situated at Ward No.10, Block No.5, Arjalabai Area, Nalgonda District from the petitioner for a total sale consideration of Rs.41,00,000/- and got the sale deed registered. He further submits that, on 23.05.2024, while respondent No.6 and her husband were pouring soil in the purchased plot, one Repaka Mallikarjuna approached them and claimed to be the actual owner of the plot by showing documents in support of his claim. Accordingly, a complaint was filed and FIR was registered against the petitioner. Except conducting investigation, respondent No.4 has never resorted to any illegal action by calling the petitioner to the police station and forcing him to

sit in the police station from morning to night and never subjected him to harassment, coercion or illegal detention at any point of time. He would further submit that, since the petitioner has admitted to come forward and cooperate with the investigation, he would seek to pass appropriate orders.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home and upon perusing the written instructions, this Court is of the view that, on the complaint filed by respondent No.6, an FIR was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420 and 506 of IPC before Nalgonda Rural Police Station, Nalgonda District, pending writ petition. The said offences are punishable with imprisonment up to seven years. Upon careful perusal of the written instructions, it is stated that respondent No.4 has never subjected the petitioner to harassment, coercion and illegal detention at any point of time. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.4 not to resort to any illegal action against the petitioner by interfering with his personal life and liberty, except in accordance with law.

6. With the above observations, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariate, The State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. The Director General of Police, State of Telangana, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda District.
4. The Station House Officer, Nalgonda Rural Police Station, Nalgonda District.
5. One CC to SRI SANJEEVA REDDY GARLAPATI, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

PVL

(SR)

HIGH COURT

DATED: 27/04/2026

ORDER

WP.No.13205 of 2026



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION

WITHOUT COSTS

Handwritten notes and signature: "11/05/26" and "08/05/26" written diagonally across a signature.