



2021:TSHC:30142

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P.MADHAVI DEVI

WRIT PETITION NO: 19786 OF 2004

Between:

G. Rama Rao, S/o. Late Sri Hanumanth Rao, TILL RECENTLY BANK
SERVICE, R/o. 2-3-35/A/2, Shankar Nagar Colony, Amberpet, Hyderabad
500 013.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The General Manager (Operations), State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Koti, Hyderabad.
2. The Chief General Manager,, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Koti, Hyderabad.
3. The Managing Director,, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Koti, Hyderabad.
4. B. Sudheer Rao, S/o. Not Known, Officer M.M. G.S. II, Regional Office, State Bank Of Hyderabad, Nizamabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order, direction more in nature of Mandamus to set -aside the dismissal order, appeal dismissal order and review petition dismissal orders of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd respondents respectively

- i) Order No. DPD/307, dated 19th May, 2003 of the 1st Respondent
 - ii) Order No. PER/Gr.XII/F-148/3752, dated 15 th October , 2003 of the 2nd Respondent
 - iii) Order No.PER/Gr.XII/F-148/173/121, dated 5th April, 2004 of the 3rd respondent, declaring as illegal, irregular, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and inviolation of the State Bank of Hyderabad (Officer') Service Regulations 1979 and consequentially direct the respondents No.1 to i) reinstate the petitioner into service with all consequential benefits and
- ii) to treat the entire period as on duty and pay arrears of salary with annual increments



2021:TSHC:30142

WPMP. NO: 25913 OF 2004

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned orders of 1st, 2nd and 3rd respondents respectively

- i) Order No. DPD/307, dated 19th May, 2003 of the 1st Respondent
- ii) Order No. PER/Gr.XII/F-148/3752, dated 15 th October , 2003 of the 2nd Respondent iii) Order No.PER/Gr.XII/F-148/173/121, dated 5th April, 2004 of the 3rd respondent,

Counsel for the Petitioner:SRI. P V VENKATESWARA RAO (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3:SRI. P SRIDHAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No. 4: NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following:



2021:TSHC:30142

HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE P. MADHAVI DEVI
WRIT PETITION No.19786 of 2004

ORDER:

On earlier occasion, there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'. Today also when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in spite of the matter being listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/-T.SRINIVAS
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri. P V Venkateswara Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri. P Sridhar Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies.

PM



2021:TSHC:30142

HIGH COURT

DATED:15/11/2021

ORDER

WP.No.19786 of 2004



**Dismissing the WP
For non-prosecution
Without costs.**

4
Chaitanya
02/12/2021