

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO
CRLP NO: 6375 OF 2026

Between

Madhu Shalini @ Shaik Shahanaz Begum, W/o. N. Suman Kumar

.....PETITIONER/Accused No.3

AND

1. The State of Telangana,, Represented by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Yeruva Anand Reddy,, S/o Y. Show Reddy, Occ. Private Employee, R/o. Plot No. 732N, Padmashali Town Ship, Road No. 39, Weavers Colony, Bhavana Nagar, kapra Mandal, Medchal District, Telangana.

Respondents/Complainants

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate Sri. G ESWARAI AH presented this Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in vide FIR No.518/2026, dated. 14.04.2026 of P S Jawaharnagar, Malkajgiri for the Offences U/S 316(2), 318(2) ,351(2) ,r/w 3(5) BNS. against the Petitioner/Accused.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Ms. Radha, counsel representing Sri. G ESWARAI AH Advocate for the Petitioner, and Additional Public Prosecutor, for the Respondent No.1 directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2 herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Yeruva Anand Reddy, S/o Y. Show Reddy, Occ. Private Employee, R/o. Plot No. 732N, Padmashali Town Ship, Road No. 39, Weavers Colony, Bhavana Nagar, kapra Mandal, Medchal District, Telangana.

re directed to show cause on or before 06.07.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including arrest of the Petitioner/Accused No.3 in vide FIR No.518/2026, dated. 14.04.2026 of P S Jawaharnagar, Markagiri for the Offences U/S 316(2),318(2) 351(2),r/w 3(5) BNS. against the Petitioner/Accused No.3.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Notice before admission.

Learned Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 through speed post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service.

Post on 06.07.2026.

Ms. Radha, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed the alleged offences and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The allegations levelled in the complaint are purely civil in nature. Even according to the allegations made in the complaint the ingredients of the offences under Sections 316(2), 318(2), 351(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 are not attracted against the petitioner/accused No.3.

He further submits that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years and the Investigating Officer, without following the mandatory procedure prescribed under Section 35(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') and without following the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar*¹, is proceeding further in the matter and the same is contrary to law.

Taking into consideration the above submissions, this Court is of the *prima facie* view that there is force in the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. However, this Court would not be justified in granting a stay of further investigation pending the proceedings under Section 528 of the BNSS.

¹(2014) 8 SCC 273

Hence, the Investigating Officer is directed to follow the due procedure as contemplated under the provisions of the BNSS and guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment of Arnesh Kumar *supra*, insofar as petitioner/accused No.3 is concerned.

Sd/- N.CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-X Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Medchal.
2. The Station House Officer, Jawaharnagar Police Station, Malkajgiri.
3. Yeruva Anand Reddy,, S/o Y. Show Reddy, Occ. Private Employee, R/o. Plot No. 732N, Padmashali Town Ship, Road No. 39, Weavers Colony, Bhavana Nagar, kapra Mandal, Medchal District, Telangana.[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds]
4. One CC to Sri. G ESWARAIHAH Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED: 24-04-2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

POST ON 06.07.2026

CRLP.No.6375 of 2026

DIRECTION

