

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO  
CRLP NO: 6379 OF 2026

**Between**

1. Uppuleti Rajender,, S/o. Sailu, Aged 50 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. Akenapalli Village, Anthergaon Mandal, Peddapalli District.
2. Uppuleti Rayalingu,, S/o. Sailu, Aged 65 years, Occ. Retd Employee and Agriculture, R/o. Akenapalli Village, Anthergaon Mandal, Peddapalli District.
3. Uppuleti Bondyalu,, S/o. Sailu, Aged 60 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. Akenapalli Village, Anthergaon Mandal, Peddapalli District
4. Uppuleti Ramesh,, S/o. Sailu, Aged 48 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o. Akenapalli Village, Anthergaon Mandal, Peddapalli District

.....PETITIONER/Accused No. 1 to 4 ✓

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana,, rep by the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana
2. Kanchari Latha,, W/o. Srinivas, Aged. 41 years, Occ. Housewife, R/o. UL-33, C. Colony, Ramagundam, Ramagundam Mandal, Peddapalli District

**Respondents** ✓

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through their Advocate SRI. KASARLA RAMESH REDDY presented this Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be pleased to quash the FIR in Crime No. 18 of 2026 dated 06-02-2026 registered at Anthergaon Police Station, Ramagundam, Peddapalli District, against the Petitioners/Accused, in as an abuse of process of law, and pass such other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interests of justice. ✓

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. KASARLA RAMESH REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the respondent No.1, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2, herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Kanchari Latha., W/o. Srinivas, Occ. Housewife, R/o. UL-33, C. Colony,  
Ramagundam, Ramagundam Mandal, Peddapalli District

are directed to show cause on or before 06.07.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in FIR Crime No. 18 of 2026 dated 06-02-2026, registered before Anthergaon Police Station, Ramagundam, Peddapalli District including their arrest till the disposal of the main petition, in the interests of justice, pending disposal of CRLP No 6379 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER**

**Notice before admission.**

**Learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1.**

**Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 by way of Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service.**

**Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not committed the alleged offences and have been falsely implicated in the present crime. He further submits that the allegations are purely civil in nature. Respondent No. 2 herself filed a suit vide O.S. No. 109 of 2025 before the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge, Godavarikhani, seeking grant of perpetual injunction against the petitioners and others. When the said suit is pending before the competent civil court, respondent No.2 has filed the present complaint. Even according to the allegations made in the complaint, the ingredients of Sections 329(3) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhitha, 2023 are not attracted against the petitioners and the said offences are punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years. He further submitted that the Investigating Officer, without following the mandatory procedure prescribed under Section 35(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') and without following the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar*<sup>1</sup>, is proceeding further in the matter and the same is contrary to law.**

**Taking into consideration the above submissions, this Court is of the *prima facie* view that there is force in the submissions made by the learned**

---

<sup>1</sup>(2014) 8 SCC 273

counsel for the petitioners. However, this Court would not be justified in granting a stay of further investigation pending the proceedings under Section 528 of the BNSS.

Hence, the Investigating Officer is directed to follow the due procedure as contemplated under the provisions of the BNSS and also the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar* supra, insofar as petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 4 are concerned.

Post on 06.07.2026.

Sd/- P.C.SULEKHA DEVI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

026

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate Court at Godavarikhani.
2. The Station House Officer, Anthergoan P.S., Peddapalli District.
3. Kanchari Latha,, W/o. Srinivas, Occ. Housewife, R/o. UL-33, C. Colony, Ramagundam, Ramagundam Mandal, Peddapalli District[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds]
4. One CC to Sri. KASARLA RAMESH REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

**HIGH COURT**

**JSR, J**

**DATED: 27-04-2026**

**POST ON 06.07.2026**

**NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION**

**CRLP.No.6379 of 2026**

**DIRECTION**

