



2026:TSHC:4965

[3219]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1190 OF 2023

Contempt Case filed Under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to summon the respondents herein to appear before this court and punish them suitably for their willful and deliberate, disobedience of the orders of the High Court dated 02-08-2022 passed in W.P.No. 31439 of 2022.

Between:

B.Pedda Kaseem, S/o. Late B.Pedda Jangaiah, Aged about 30 Years, Un-employee R/o. Bakaram Village, Lingala Mandal, Nagar Kurnool District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Sri P.Uday Kumar, I.A.S., Collector and District Magistrate, Nagar Kurnool District
2. Sri R.Pandu Naik, Revenue Divisional Officer, Atchampet, Nagar Kurnool District.
3. Sri Navin Mittal, IAS, Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, State of Telangana, Nampally Railway Station Road, Hyderabad.
(R3 impleaded as per the Court Order dtd.27.09.2024 in IA No.2/2024 in CC No.1190 of 2023).

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri. C Raja Sekhar Reddy

Counsel for the Respondents : Sri. GP for Services III

The Court made the following: ORDER



2026:TSHC:4965

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI

CONTEMPT CASE No.1190 of 2023

DATED 28.01.2026

Between:

B.Pedda Kaseem.

.... Petitioner

And

Sri.P.Uday Kumar, I.A.S.,
Collector & District Magistrate,
Nagar Kurnool District and Two Others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is filed alleging willful and deliberate disobedience of directions of this Court dated 02.08.2022 in W.P.No.31439 of 2022 and seeking punishment under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Court Act for the said disobedience and to pass such other order or orders in the interest of justice.

2. The petitioner had filed a writ petition seeking consideration of his case for compassionate appointment on account of the death of his father, who was working as a Village Servant. Since no decision was taken on the representation of the petitioner, this Court had directed the



respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner and also the report of respondent No.5 and had directed the respondent No.2 to take a decision on the proposals within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. The copy of the order was dispatched on 13.09.2022 and it is presumed that the same has been received by the authorities by the end of September, 2022. The petitioner also claims to have made a representation to the authorities i.e., the Revenue Divisional Officer (RDO), respondent No.4 in the writ petition, on 19.09.2022 and 17.10.2022 to consider his case in terms of the High Court order. Thereafter, the Collector, vide Letter No. A7/378/2019, dated 22.09.2023, observed that as per Village Revenue Assistant Service Rules-2005, the RDO is the appellate authority and therefore, the case was forwarded to him for inquiry and to dispose of the proposals dated 10.01.2020 and 22.01.2021 sent by the Tahsildar, as per rules in force within seven days and to submit action taken report to avoid further repercussions. However, no action was taken thereafter and therefore the petitioner filed this Contempt Case on 13.06.2023.



3. Learned Government Pleader for Services-I, appearing for the respondent No.1 has filed a counter affidavit stating that he has submitted the proposals to the Chief Commissioner CLA, TG, Hyderabad, vide reference No.A7/378/2019, dated 22.09.2023, requesting to accord permission to appoint the petitioner as Office Subordinate on compassionate grounds and thereafter, he had been transferred from the said post. It is submitted that the CCLA, Telangana, vide Circular Instructions dated 22.05.2023, has issued instructions to all the Tahsildars not to appoint any new Village Revenue Assistants until further orders and in these extraordinary circumstances, the prior approval of the CCLA is mandatory. It is further stated that the Government vide G.O.Ms.No.81, dated 24.07.2023 has issued orders to convert the services of the Village Revenue Assistants who are below 61 years of age into full time, absorbing them in suitable Government departments against the last grade services/Record Assistant/Junior Assistant or equivalent categories as per their educational qualifications and extend them regular pay scales. In view thereof, the proposal has been submitted to the CCLA, Hyderabad, vide reference No.A7/378/2019, dated



05.09.2023, requesting to accord permission to appoint the petitioner as Office Subordinate on compassionate grounds, but no orders were communicated in the said case till the date of his getting relieved from the said post.

4. In view thereof, the petitioner has filed I.A.No.2 of 2024 to implead CCLA, Hyderabad, as a party respondent to the Contempt case.

5. This Court, vide orders dated 27.09.2024 allowed the implead petition and the CCLA, Hyderabad, has been impleaded as respondent No.3 and notice was issued to him. Further, after considering the contentions of the respondents vide orders dated 13.12.2024, this Court had directed the respondent authorities to consider the appointment of the petitioner in any other equivalent post in any other department wherein VRAs have been absorbed, pursuant to the abolition of the post of VRA and four weeks time has been granted. Thereafter, the respondent authorities have been seeking time to file a counter affidavit ever since and on 03.07.2025, the incumbent officer i.e., the District Collector, Nagarkurnool, intimated the Government Pleader about the orders of the CCLA, Hyderabad, dated 28.06.2025, wherein the request of the petitioner for



appointment of VRA pursuant to the directions of this Court dated 13.12.2024 has been rejected on the ground that it is not feasible for consideration as there is no equivalent post to the post of VRA. The order of the CCLA dated 17.06.2025 and the endorsement dated 28.06.2025 given by the Collector intimating the decision of the CCLA to the petitioner are also filed.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the above orders of CCLA are in blatant disobedience of directions of this Court. It is stated that the petitioner has been found to be eligible for compassionate appointment and only on the ground that the post of VRA has been abolished by the Government, the case of the petitioner is not being considered for appointment in spite of specific directions from this Court to consider and appoint him to any equivalent post.

7. The learned Government Pleader, however, submitted that the officers have expressed their deepest respect for the orders of this Court but are unable to comply with the directions of this Court since the post of VRA has been abolished and there is no equivalent post of VRA in the District.



8. Having regard to the above submissions, this Court directs the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in any last grade post in the District which is equivalent or is even lesser in rank to the post of VRA, such as office subordinate for appointment on compassionate grounds and report compliance within a period of four (4) weeks.

9. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

10. For filing compliance report, list this matter on 20.03.2026.

Sd/- P.GOWRI SHANKAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Registrar Judicial – I, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. The Section Officer, O.S Section, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
3. The Section Officer, Accounts Section, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
4. Two CCs to the GP For Services III, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. B.Pedda Kaseem, S/o. Late B.Pedda Jangaiah, R/o. Bakaram Village, Lingala Mandal, Nagar Kurnool District.
6. Sri P.Uday Kumar, I.A.S., Collector and District Magistrate, Nagar Kurnool District
7. Sri R.Pandu Naik, Revenue Divisional Officer, Atchampet, Nagar Kurnool District.
8. Sri Navin Mittal, IAS, Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, State of Telangana, Nampally Railway Station Road, Hyderabad
9. One CC to Sri. C Raja Sekhar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
10. Two CD Copies

DL



2026:TSHC:4965

HIGH COURT

DATED: 28/01/2026



ORDER

CC.No.1190 of 2023

ALLOWING THE CONTEMPT CASE

(13)
kpr
4/4/26