

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

IA No. 1 OF 2024

IN

CRLP NOS: 5891 AND 7362 OF 2024

I.A.No.1 of 2024

IN

CRLP No.5891 of 2024

Between:

1. V. K Tewari, S/o Sarjoo Prasad Tewari
2. Asha Tewari, W/o V.K Tewari

...Petitioner/Accused Nos.2 & 3
(Petitioner in CRLP 5891 OF 2024
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Saumya Siddharth, W/o Siddarth Tewari, R/o B-403, Meera Shanthi Vanam, Kanha Shanthi Vanam village, Nandigama Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.

...Respondents/Defacto Complainant
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI B.KARTHIK NAVAYAN

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI M. RAMACHANDRA REDDY, ADDL.
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR**

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant a stay of all further proceedings, including the dispense the personal appearance of the petitioners in C.C. No. 215 OF 2024, on the file of the Honourable Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate Shadnagar, pending disposal of CRLP No. 5891 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

**IA No. 1 OF 2024
IN
CRLP NO: 7362 OF 2024**

Between:

Siddharth Tewari, S/o V.K Tewari

...Petitioner/Accused No.1
(Petitioner in CRLP 7362 OF 2024
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the state of Telangana at Hyderabad,
2. Saumya Siddharth, W/o Siddharth Tewari, R/o B-403, Meera Shanthi Vanam, Kanha Shanthi Vanam village, Nandigama Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana.

...Respondent/Defacto Complainant
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI B.KARTHIK NAVAYAN

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI M. RAMACHANDRA REDDY, ADDL.
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR**

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant a stay of all further proceedings, including the dispensing the personal appearance of the petitioners in C.C. No.215 OF 2024 on the file of the Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate Shadnagar, pending disposal of CRLP No.7362 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following

ORDER:

These Interlocutory Applications are filed seeking stay of all further proceedings against the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3 in C.C.No.215 of 2024 on the file of the learned Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate at Shadnagar, registered for the offences under Section 498-A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC').

Heard Sri M.S. Prasad, learned Senior Counsel representing Sri Karthik Navayan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri M.

Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are nothing to do with the alleged offences. The petitioners-accused Nos.2 and 3 are aged parents of the accused No.1. There are no specific allegations against the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3. Learned Senior Counsel further submitted that the respondent No.2, in her statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, "Cr.P.C."), stated that she has been residing without any harassment from the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3. The daughter of accused No.1 and respondent No.2, in her statement recorded under Section 161 of Cr.P.C., also stated that the respondent No.2 had refused accused No.1 to meet her, and that respondent No.2 had instituted the divorce proceedings and the present case only out of fear and doubt, and that there was no problem with accused Nos.1 to 3. Hence, he prayed for grant of stay of all further proceedings against the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3.

On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submitted that there are specific allegations levelled against the petitioners and prayed this Court to dismiss this petition.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the submissions made by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3 do required detailed examination.

In the said circumstances, there shall be stay of all further proceedings against the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3 in

C.C.No.215 of 2024 on the file of the learned Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate at Shadnagar, pending Criminal Petition.

**SD/-N.CHANDRA SHEKAR RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate at Shadnagar.
2. The Station House Officer, Police Station Nandigama, Cyberabad.
3. Saumya Siddharth, W/o Siddarth Tewari, R/o B-403, Meera Shanthi Vanam, Kanha Shanthi Vanam village, Nandigama Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana. (By RPAD)
4. One CC to SRI B.KARTHIK NAVAYAN, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JS, J

DATED:19.09.2025

LIST ON 04.11.2025

ORDER

I.A.No.1 of 2024

IN

CRLP.Nos.5891 AND 7362 of 2024

STAY

