

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA:HYDERABAD

**MAIN CASE: CRP.No.1223 of 2026
PROCEEDING SHEET**

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	24.04.2026	<u>LNA,J</u> Learned counsel for the petitioners, present. Issue notice to respondents. Personal notice is permitted. <u>IA.No.1 OF 2026</u> Learned counsel for the petitioners would submits that when the SOP was coming up for cross-examination of PWs.1 and 2, respondent Nos.1 to 6 /petitioners, filed application under Order-VI Rule-17 of CPC, for amendment of prayer in SOP that the trial Court allowed the said application, erroneously, without considering the objections raised by the petitioners herein that amendment after commencement of trial cannot be allowed and though no valid reasons have been assigned by the respondents/petitioners for filing the amendment application at a belated stage. Therefore, prayed to stay the operation of the impugned order. In support of his contention, learned counsel for the petitioners placed reliance on the Judgment rendered by the Hon'ble	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Apex Court in <i>Ajendra Prasadji and another v. Swami Keshav Prakeshdasji and others</i>¹. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as reasons set out in the revision petition, there shall be stay of further proceedings in SOP No.1 of 2022 on the file of Additional District Judge at Godavarikhani, for a period of eight (8) weeks. Post on 12.06.2026. _____ LNA,J tk	

¹ (2006) 12 SCC 1