

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY
WP NO: 12842 OF 2026**

Between

Guddeti Sujatha, W/o. Late Sri Ramulu,

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Office at Kongarakalan, RR District.
3. The Sub-Registrar, Rajendranagar, Ranga Reddy District.
4. Guddeti Krishna Murthy, S/o. Late Sri Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 8-5-121/G, Durga Nagar Colony, Rajendra Nagar, RR District.
5. Satish Gupta Veerabomma, S/o. Late Janaki Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 1-1, Kurmidda village, Yacharam Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate Sri M.Damodar Reddy, presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not considering complaint of the petitioner filed under the provisions of maintenance and welfare of parents and senior citizens Act 2007, though there is a threat of alienation and dispossession of the petitioner from the possession house property bearing No. H.No. 8-5-121/G, Durga Nagar Colony, Rajendra Nagar, Ranga Reddy District, and though the 4th respondent is not sole and exclusive owner of the said house property, as illegal and unlawfull and contrary to law and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to consider the pending the above complaint of the petitioner and till such time the 4th respondent shall not be permitted to alienate the above house property in favor of 5th respondent, and the petitioner shall not be dispossessed from the possession from the above house property;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed therein, and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M.Damodar Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner, directed

issue of notice to the Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. Guddeti Krishna Murthy, S/o. Late Sri Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 8-5-121/G, Durga Nagar Colony, Rajendra Nagar, RR District.
2. Satish Gupta Veerabomma, S/o. Late Janaki Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 1-1, Kurmida village, Yacharam Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

are directed to show cause on or before 08-6-2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition/appeal and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026:

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim order preventing the 4th respondent from alienating the house property bearing No. H.No. 8-5-121/G, Durga Nagar Colony, Rajendra Nagar, Ranga Reddy District to 5th respondent and not to dispossess the petitioner from the possession of the said house property as long as her complaint dated 17.4.2026 is pending before the 2nd respondent under the provisions of maintenance and welfare of parents and senior citizens Act 2007, pending disposal of WP No. 12842 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Issue notice to respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondents No.4 and 5 and file proof of service by the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that complaint dated 17.04.2026 has been lodged by the petitioner before respondent No.2 against her son (respondent No.4 herein) to protect her possession over the property bearing H.No.8-5-121/G comprising of Ground + 1 floor at Durga Nagar Colony, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. Pending consideration of complaint by respondent No.2, respondent No.4 has entered into agreement of sale with respondent No.5 and is trying to alienate the subject property thereby dispossessing the petitioner.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is the competent authority under the provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (for short 'Act').

In the circumstances, respondent No.3 is directed not to entertain any transaction in respect of the subject property between respondents No.4 and 5 pending consideration of the complaint dated 17.04.2026 lodged by the petitioner.

Post on 08.06.2026.

Sd/- S.MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Office at Kongarakalan, RR District.
3. The Sub-Registrar, Rajendranagar, Ranga Reddy District. (Addressees 1 to 3 BY SPAD)
4. Guddeti Krishna Murthy, S/o. Late Sri Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 8-5-121/G, Durga Nagar Colony, Rajendra Nagar, RR District.
5. Satish Gupta Veerabomma, S/o. Late Janaki Ramulu, R/o. H.No. 1-1, Kurmida village, Yacharam Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. (Addressees 4 & 5 BY SPAD along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
6. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
7. One CC to Sri M.Damodar Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)
8. One Spare Copy

HIGH COURT

BVR,J

DATE: 23-04-2026

NOTE: POST ON 8-6-2026



NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP. NO. 12842 OF 2026

DIRECTION