

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 9033 OF 2004

Between:

1. G.R.V.Vidya Sagar, S/o. Rama Murthy,
 2. V. Janaki Ramaiah, S/o. Late Veeramsetty,
 3. P. Humayu Kabir, S/o. Mahboob Saheb,
 4. N. Arunamma, W/o. Vijayanath,
 5. G. Eswaramma, W/o. Jayaramaiah,
 6. K. Padmasree, D/o. Suryanarayana,
 7. S. Sarojini Devi, W/o. Vaman Rao,
 8. T. Ammaji, D/o. Apparao,
 9. K. Kusuma Kumari, D/o. Late Gangadhar,
- (All are working at A.P. State Legislative Assembly, Hyderabad.)

Petitioners

AND

1. The Secretary, Government of A.P., Finance and Planning Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
 2. K.Tuljanand Singh, Secretary, State Legislative Assembly, Hyderabad.
 3. State of Telangana, Represented by its Secretary, Finance and Planning Department, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.
 4. Secretary, State Legislative Assembly, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
- (RR 3 and 4 are impleaded as per C.O dt. 16.12.2022 in IA 01/2016)

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate Sri G.V.Shivaji, presented this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned action of 2nd respondent in issuing impugned G.O. Ms. No. 12, dated 27.4.2004 and the consequential rejection orders dated 04-05-2004 thereby rejecting the case of the petitioners for regularisation while regularising others as highly illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India and also contrary to the Judgment in W.P. No. 20205 of 1999 and set aside the same by consequently directing respondents to regularise the services of the petitioners with all attendant benefits;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed therein, and upon hearing the arguments of Sri G.V.Shivaji, Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.4 for his presence before this Court on 04.08.2025 at 10:15 A.M.

You viz:

The Secretary, State Legislative Assembly, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

are hereby directed to appear before this Court on 04-08-2025 at 10:15 AM.

The Court made the following: ORDER:

On 08-07-2025, Mr. M.V.Rama Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the State Legislative Assembly, State of Telangana, appearing on behalf of 4th respondent, made a request to this Bench for adjourning the case to to-day.

However, today when the matter is taken up for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the 4th respondent, and the 4th respondent has not even cared to respond to the previous instructions that was sought from the learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4 – The Secretary, State Legislative Assembly, State of Telangana.

Under the circumstances, this Bench has no other option but to direct the presence of the 4th respondent in person before this court on 04th August, 2025.

Accordingly, list the matter on 04th of August, 2025 under the caption 'For Appearance' on which date respondent No.4, i.e., The Secretary, State Legislative Assembly, State of Telangana, shall be present before this Court positively by 10:15 A.M.

The Registry is also directed to take steps in ensuring that necessary notice is sent to respondent No.4 seeking his presence before this Court on the next date of hearing.

**SD/-A.V.S PRASAD
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Secretary, State Legislative Assembly, State of Telangana, Hyderabad. (BY SPL. MESSENGER)
2. One CC to Sri G.V. Shivaji, Advocate (OPUC)
3. Two Spare copies

HIGH COURT

**PSK,J
&
NNR,J**

DATE: 16-07-2025

NOTE: POST ON 04-08-2025

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

WP. NO. 9033 OF 2004

DIRECTION

