

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA**

APPEAL SUIT NO: 3694 OF 2003

Appeal under Section 96 of CPC against the Judgment and decree dt. 13.8.2003 made in O.S.No.53/2000 on the file of the Court of the Judge, Family Court, Hyderabad.

Between:

1. Balamani, W/o.late N.Ramesh
2. Kranti Kumar, S/o.late N.Ramesh aged 10 years,
3. Sainath S/o.late N.Ramesh aged 7 years
4. N.Rajesh S/o.late N.Ramesh aged 6 years
5. N.Maheswari D/o.late N.Ramesh aged 4 years
(2 to 4 are minors, rep. by mother and natural guardian Smt Balamani the 1st petitioner herein)
(All are R/o.13-3-1048/110, Puranapool, Jiyaguda, Hyderabad)

...APPELLANTS/ DEFENDANTS 4 TO 8

AND

1. Smt.N. Shobharani, W/o.late N.Ramesh
2. N.Sudharshan Kumar S/o.late N.Ramesh aged 9 years
3. Kum.N.Srikanya, D/o.late N.Ramesh aged 9 years
(2 and 3 being minors, rep.by their mother and natural guardian Smt.N.Shobharani)
(All are H.No.2-64, Chanda nagar (V), Serilingampally (M), Ranga Reddy District)

.....Respondents/ Plaintiffs

4. A.P. Transco, rep. by Chairman and M.D. Vidyuth Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad
5. The Divisional Engineer, Electrical Operations City VII, South Circle, A.P. Transco, Mehdipatnam, Hyderabad.
6. Life Insurance Corporation of India, rep. by its Divisional Manager, Claims Department, Divisional Office, opp: Secretariat, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS/ DEFENDANTS 1 TO 3

For the Appellants : SRI A.RAVINDER REDDY, Advocate (not present)
For the Respondents 1 to 3 : SRI R.CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY, Advocate
For the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 : SRI R.VINOD REDDY, Advocate
For the Respondent NO.6 : NONE APPEARED
 The Court delivered the following : ORAL ORDER

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA**

APPEAL SUIT No. 3694 of 2003

ORAL ORDER : (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice P.Naveen Rao)

Learned counsel Sri R.Vinod Reddy, appearing for respondent Nos.4 and 5, submits that the appeal suit is of the year 2003 concerning the settlement of death benefits.

2. On 01.03.2023 there was no representation for appellants. To give one last opportunity, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption 'for dismissal'.

3. Today, when the matter is called, there is no representation for appellants.

4. In view thereof, the appeal suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/-B.S.CHIRANJEEVI
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Judge, Family Court, Hyderabad. (with records)
2. One CC to Sri A.Ravinder Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri R.Chandrasekhar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri R.Vinod Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies


Kj.

HIGH COURT

DATED:15/03/2023

JUDGMENT

AS.No.3694 of 2003



Dismissing the AS for non-prosecution.

7
19/6/23

sw/...A