

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No.: W.P. No.14027 of 2023

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	22.08.2025	<u>SN, J</u> Heard Sri C.M.R.Velu, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri A.Krishnam Raju, learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-Canara Bank. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner places reliance on the Judgment of the Apex Court dated 14.05.1993 reported in 1993 (Sup 3) SCC 2 in "<u>Mukund Lal Bhandari Vs. Union of India</u>" and in particular paragraph <u>No.10(c), the same is extracted hereunder:</u> "10[c]. The pension should be paid to the applicant from the date on which the original application is received whether the application is filed with or without the requisite evidence. The sanction of the pension would, however, be subject to the requisite proof in support of the claim." <u>The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner also places reliance on the Judgment of the Apex Court dated 03.02.2003 reported in 2003 AIR SC 1136 in "State of M.P. and another Vs. Devakinandan</u>	

		<u>Maheshwari” and in particular paragraph No.4 and contends that the petitioner is entitled for the relief as prayed for in the present writ petition.</u> <u>The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-Canara Bank, who places reliance on the averments in the counter affidavit filed in support of the respondents and in addition, places reliance on the Judgment of the Apex Court dated 08.12.2009 reported in (2010) 2 SCC 59 : (2010) 1 SCC (L&S) 1126 : 2009 SCC OnLine SC 1886 in particular para Nos. 9, 13, 16 and 17 and contends that the petitioner is not entitled for the relief as prayed for, in the present writ petition.</u> Judgment is reserved. _____ SN,J Skj	
--	--	--	--