

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No.: W.P. No.14027 of 2023

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	01.07.2025	<u>SN, J</u> Heard Sri C.M.R.Velu, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri A.Krishnam Raju, learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-Bank. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner places reliance on the judgment of the Apex Court dated 14.05.1993 reported in 1993 (Sup 3) SCC 2 in “Mukund Lal Bhandari Vs. Union of India” and in particular paragraph No.10(c), the same is extracted hereunder: “[c] The pension should be paid to the applicant from the date on which the original application is received whether the application is filed with or without the requisite evidence. The sanction of the pension would, however, be subject to the requisite proof in support of the claim.” <u>The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner also places reliance on the judgment of the Apex Court dated 03.02.2003 reported in 2003 AIR SC 1136 in “State of M.P. and another Vs. Devakinandan Maheshwari” and in particular paragraph</u>	

		<u>No.4 and contends that the petitioner is entitled for the relief as prayed for in the present writ petition.</u> Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents however disputes the same and contends that the petitioner never made any application for pension on 24.12.2010 as contended by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-bank is directed to bring on record the letter dated 14.01.2011 referred to in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents in W.P.No.24467 of 2011 filed by the petitioner on an earlier occasion. List on 14.07.2025 as "<u>PART HEARD</u>". _____ SN,J Lpd	
--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--