

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No.: CRP No.1640 of 2019

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	21.03.2025	<u>Dr.GRR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.2 of 2019</u> This application is filed seeking permission to bring petitioner Nos.5 and 6 to come on record as legal heirs of petitioner No.4. For the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the application and as the learned counsel for the respondent reported no objection for allowing this application, this application is allowed. <u>I.A.No.1 of 2019</u> This application is filed to condone the delay of 112 days in filing the CRP against the impugned order, dated 03.12.2018 in I.A.No.1590 of 2018 in unregistered A.S of 2018 in O.S.No.1579 of 2015 on the file of learned Principal District Judge, Warangal. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioner No.4 is the son of petitioner No.1, who died on 19.05.2019, due to which, the CRP could not be filed within the time. Learned counsel for the respondent vehemently opposed condoning the delay and placed reliance upon the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in <i>Esha Bhattacharjee v.</i>	

SL. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<i>Managing Committee of Raghunathpur Nafar Academy & Others¹.</i> However, considering that the petitioner No.1 was aged about 97 years and filed the affidavit stating that his son-petitioner No.4, who is aged 55 years died, due to illness and due to the said state of shock, they could not prefer the revision within the time and considering that the petitioner No.4 passed away on 19.05.2019 and CRP was filed on 10.07.2019, it is considered as sufficient reason to condone the delay of 112 days in preferring the revision. In the result, I.A.No.1 of 2019 is allowed condoning the delay in preferring the CRP. For hearing the CRP, post this matter on 08.04.2025. Dr.GRR,J mnv	

¹ (2013) 12 SCC 649

SL. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE