

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 1836 OF 2017

Between:

Maloth Mamatha, d/o Maloth Bikkam aged 22 years, Occ: Unemployeed R/o H. No. 2-77/1, Chimanpally, Pandimadugu Village, Sirikonda Mandal, Nizamabad District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Selection Committee, Nizamabad District, rep. by its Chairman/District Collector, 503001
2. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Andhra Pradesh, Nampally Station Road, Hyderabad, 500001
3. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Saifabad, Hyderabad rep. by its Principal Secretary, 500022

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to declare the inaction of the first respondent in not appointing her as Village Revenue Officer pursuant to her selection as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional apart from being violative of principles of natural justice and to consequently direct the first respondent to appoint her as Village Revenue Officer by giving all service benefits on par with the other candidates who have been selected and appointed pursuant to the said notification.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI NANDIGAM KRISHNA RAO (Not Present)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION (Tr.) No.1836 OF 2017

**THE FOURTH DAY OF DECEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

Between:

Maloth Mamatha

...Petitioner

AND

The District Selection Committee, Nizamabad District,
Rep. by its Chairman/District Collector, and two others.

...Respondents

ORDER:

On 11.11.2025, 18.11.2025 and 26.11.2025, there was no representat on on behalf of the petitioner. As such, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Today, in spite of the matter being listed under the said caption, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either in the forenoon or afternoon sessions. It can, thus, be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case any further.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No costs.

SD/- S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER


To,


1. One CC to SRI NANDIGAM KRISHNA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
3. Two CD Copies

PMK

GJP









HIGH COURT

DATED:04/12/2025

ORDER

WP(TR).No.1836 of 2017



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR) FOR NON-
PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS

(6) NIT
21/3/26.