

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:**  
**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL**  
**WP NO: 12081 OF 2026**

**Between**

1. Mandapati Revanth Kumar Reddy, S/o M. Srinivas Reddy, Aged about 34 years, Occ. Agriculturist, R/o 6-145, Nacharam Road, B. Gangaram Village, Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.
2. Mandapati Sujitha, W/o Srinivas Reddy, Aged about 56 years, R/o Nacharam Road, B. Gangaram Village, Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.

**Petitioners**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, rep by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Dept. and Rural Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Khammam District.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Khammam District.
4. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.
5. The Gram Panchayat B. Gangaram, Rep by its Panchayat Secretary, Sathupally Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.

**Respondents**

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate Dr. D MANIKYALA RAO presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 5th respondent in issuing impugned notice vide RC.No.Notice/GP/02/2026 dated 09-03-2026 inspite of the petitioners obtaining building permission orders is illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable and contrary to law and violation of Article 14, 19, 21, 300A of the Constitution of India apart from violation of principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the same;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. Dr D MANIKYALA RAO Advocate for the Petitioner, AGP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT for respondent Nos. 1 and 3, AGP FOR REVENUE for respondent

No. 2, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.
2. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat B. Gangaram, Sathupally Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.

are directed to show cause on or before 29.06.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

**I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned notice vide RC.No.Notice/GP/02/2026 dated 09-03-2026 issued by the 5th respondent, pending disposal of WP No 12081 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

**The Court made the following:**  
**ORDER**

**Notice before admission.**

**Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development takes notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 3 and waives further notice.**

**Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue takes notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and waives further notice.**

**Learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent Nos.4 and 5 seeks time to obtain instructions and make submissions.**

**Learned counsel for the petitioners vehemently contends that respondent No.5 had issued the impugned notice vide Rc.No.Notice /GP/02/2026 dated 09.03.2026 stating that the petitioners have obtained building permission for construction of residential buildings in the lands situated at Sy.Nos.130/A, 130/A/1, 130/A/1/1, 130/A/1/1/1/1, 130/AA/1/1 and 130/AA/1/1/1/1 within the limits of Bethupally Village and the same is not in accordance with the residential building permission. Therefore, respondent No.5, vide impugned proceedings dated 09.03.2026, directed the petitioners to stop the construction activity.**

**He further contends that the Gram Panchayat Gangaram vide Proceedings Nos.185/BP/2025 dated 01.05.2025, 186/BP/2025 dated 01.05.2025, 181/BP/2025 dated 17.04.2025 and 182/BP/2025 dated 17.04.2025 had accorded permission to petitioner No.2 for construction of houses in the plots**

admeasuring 302.11 sq yrs in Sy.Nos.130/A, 130/A/1, 130/A/1/1, 130/A/ 1/1/1/1, 130/AA/1/1 and 130/AA/1/1/1/1 within the limits of Bethupally Village. Therefore, he contends that the impugned proceedings dated 09.03.2026 issued by respondent No.5 directing the petitioners to stop the construction activity is illegal, arbitrary and contrary to law. Stating so, he seeks to pass appropriate orders.

A perusal of the impugned notice shows that no opportunity was given to the petitioners to submit their explanation. Without seeking any explanation from the petitioners, respondent No.5 had come to the conclusion that the petitioners have undertaken the construction activity over the subject property without obtaining permission for construction of houses, which is perverse.

This matter requires detailed examination.

The facts can only be ascertained on filing counter by official respondents.

List on 29.06.2026.

Meanwhile, both the parties to this Writ Petition are directed to maintain *status quo* obtaining as on date in all respects.

Sd/- S.MALLIKARJUNA RAO  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Telangana, Panchayat Raj Dept. and Rural Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Khammam District.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Khammam District. (RR 1 to 3 by SPAD)
4. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District. [by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
5. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat B. Gangaram, Sathupally Sathupally Mandal, Khammam District.[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
6. One CC to Sri. Dr D MANIKYALA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
8. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVV, J

DATED: 20-04-2026

LIST ON 29.06.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.12081 of 2026

STATUS QUO

