

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1204 OF 2026

Petition under Article 227 of the constitution of India aggrieved by the Order dated 22/01/2026 Passed in I.A.No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No.38 of 2021 on the file of the Court of the Principal District Judge, Jangaon.

Between:

Anishetty Vijaya Kumar, S/o. Late Kistaiah, aged 58 years, Occ. Govt. Employee, R/o. H. No. 3-12-59, Durga Colony, Kumar Pally, Hanumakonda District.

... Revision Petitioner/Petitioner/Plaintiff

AND

1. Bhukya Kalawathy @ Kamma, W/o. Sundar, aged 35 years, / R/o. Veerareddy Thanda, H/o. Ashwaraopalle Shivar, Komalla Village, Raghunath Pally Mandal, Jangaon District
2. Bhukya Sundar, S/o. Bheema, aged 40 years, / R/o. Veerareddy Thanda, H/o. Ashwaraopalle Shivar. Komalla Village, Raghunath Pally Mandal, Jangaon District

...Respondents/Respondents/Defendants

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings of the suit, i.e., O.S.No.38 of 2021, on the file of The Principal Districts Judge, Jangaon, pending disposal of the present C.R.P.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri M Madhava Reddy

Counsel for the Respondents: Sri Pingali Rameshwar Rao

The Court made the following: ORDER

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

Civil Revision Petition No.1204 of 2026

Dated : 23.06.2026

Between

Anishetty Vijaya Kumar

.... Petitioner

AND

Bhukya Kalawathy @ Kamma and another

... Respondents

ORDER:

**Heard Sri M. Madhava Reddy, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri Pingali
Rameshwar Rao, learned counsel appearing on behalf
of respondent Nos.1 and 2.**

**2. The present Civil Revision Petition is preferred
seeking the prayer as under:**

"...to call for the records and set aside the order dated
22.01.2026 passed in I.A. No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No.38
of 2021 on the file of the Principal District Judge,
Jangaon, consequently direct the learned Principal
District Judge, Jangaon to appoint the Advocate-
Commissioner by allowing the C.R.P. and pass..."

PERUSED THE RECORD

3. The petitioner in the present Civil Revision Petition is the petitioner in I.A. No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No.38 of 2021 filed seeking the prayer as under.

"...to order for appointment of Advocate-Commissioner to conduct survey in land in Sy. No. 373/అ/3, 373/అ/4 and 373/అ/5 of Komalla Village of Raghunathpalli Mandal, Jangaon District, with the help of surveyor in the interest of justice".

4. The said petition is filed under Order XXVI Rule 9 of C.P.C. seeking appointment of Advocate-Commissioner for the purpose of conducting survey and measurements in respect of land in Sy. No. 373/అ/3, 373/అ/4 and 373/అ/5 of Komalla Village of Raghunathpalli Mandal and the same had been rejected by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Jangaon observing at Para Nos.13 and 14 as under:

"13. Firstly, the plaintiffs have to show location of the lands purchased by their son Prasanth Kumar and their daughter Kavya Sri under their respective registered sale deeds and they should depict the land sold by

Prasantyh Kumar to Velugu Thulasi and the land sold by their daughter Kavya Sri to Chintha Laxman. Without giving such material, the plaintiff cannot fish out for evidence by seeking appointment of Advocate-Commissioner to localize their land.

14. Hence, I hold that there are no merits in the petition and the petition is to be dismissed. However, it is observed that the petitioner on filing all the record and by showing the location of the land as observed in the petition can renew their claim for localization of their land vis-a-vis the Sy. No. 373/అ/3/B, 373/అ/4/B and 373/అ/5/B claimed by them".

5. In view of the clear observations at Para Nos.13 and 14 of the order dated 22.01.2026 in I.A. No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No.38 of 2021 passed by the Principal District Judge, Jangaon (referred to and extracted above), this Court opines that there is no illegality or irregularity in the impugned order dated 22.01.2026 in I.A. No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No.38 of 2021 passed by the Principal District Judge, Jangaon which is assailed in the present C.R.P. It is clearly observed in the order impugned dated 22.01.2026 passed in I.a. No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No. 38 of 2021 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Jangaon that the petitioner on filing all

the record and by showing the location of the land can renew petitioner's claim for localization of petitioner's land and hence petitioner can approach the Court with a proper application duly filing all the relevant material documents in support of petitioner's case.

Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to renew petitioner's claim by filing all the relevant material on record as observed by the Court below at Para Nos.13 and 14 of the impugned order dated 22.01.2026 in I.A. No.277 of 2024 in O.S. No.38 of 2021 passed by the Principal District Judge, Jangaon referred to and extracted above). However, there shall no order as to costs.

The miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-K. BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District Judge, Jangaon
2. One CC to SRI. M MADHAVA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. PINGALI RAMESHWAR RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

ADK/BA



HIGH COURT

DATED: 23/06/2026

ORDER

CRP.No.1204 of 2026



DISPOSING OF THE CRP

WITHOUT COSTS

⑥
NT
21/7/26.