

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA at hyderabad

MONDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA KODANDA RAM
SECOND APPEAL NO: 250 OF 2015

Between:

1. Eedamgari Narsimha Reddy, s/o late E Ram Reddy
2. Eedamgari Laxma Reddy, s/o late E Ram Reddy
3. Eedamgari Balwanth Reddy, s/o late E Ram Reddy
4. Eedamgari Vittal Reddy, s/o late E Ram Reddy
5. Eedamgari Pratap Reddy, s/o late E Ram Reddy

Appellant/Respondent

AND

B Chilkamma, w/o B Ram Reddy aged 69 years, occ: household s/o late E Ram Reddy aged 61 years, occ: agriculture r/o no. 7-12, Plot no. 17 near Laxminarasimha swamy temple Main road, Hyder-shah-kote village Rajendranagar mandal, RR district

Respondent/Appellant

Second Appeal under Section 100 CPC against the order dated to set aside the Judgment and decree dated 12-2-2015 passed in AS NO. 226/2008 on the file of the V Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy district at L.B.Nagar to the extent of the dismissal of the relief in respect of the suit schedule -A properties; and be pleased to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Second Appeal, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the judgment and decree dated 12-2-2015 passed in AS no. 226/2008 on the file of the V Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy district at LB Nagar to the extent of the dismissal of the relief in respect of the scheduled A properties; and be pleased to pass, Pending disposal of SA 250 of 2015, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 01-05-2015 made in SAMP.No.705 of 2015 and 22.03.2021 made in IA.No.1 of 2021 & 23-06-2021 made in SA No.250 of 2015 and upon hearing the arguments of SRI R SUSHANTH REDDY Advocate for the Petitioners and Sri B DANANJAYA Advocate for the Respondent, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

Learned counsel for both the parties submit that great progress has been made and as a matter of fact, to some extent, agreement has been reached, however, to seal the deal, they require one more week.

Post on 12.07.2021 under the caption "for orders".

Interim order passed earlier is extended by two weeks.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- R. KARTHIKEYAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. R SUSHANTH REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
2. One Spare Copy

HIGH COURT

CKRJ

DATED:05-07-2021

POST ON 12-07-2021 UNDER
THE CAPTION "FOR ORDERS".

ORDER

SA.No.250 of 2015

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

