

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**:PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN  
AND  
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY**

**IA No. 1 OF 2024  
IN  
CRLA NO: 175 OF 2022**

**Between:**

Kasturi Ravinder, S/o. Rajam.

...Petitioner/Appellant  
(Appellant in CRLA 175 OF 2022  
on the file of High Court)

**AND**

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its public prosecutor, High Court for the State  
of Telangana Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Respondent  
(Respondents in-do-)

**Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri C RUTHWIK REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondent : Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon  
Additional PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (TG)**

Petition under Section 430 (1) R/w 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the sentence and release the petitioner/appellant on bail, pending disposal of the above Criminal Appeal No 175 of 2022 filed against the Judgment dated 10/03/2022 in Spl SC NO 38 of 2017 passed by the Learned Sessions Judge for Trial of Cases under SCs/STs (POA) Act Cum V Additional District and Sessions Judge at Adilabad, pending disposal of CRLA No. 175 of 2022, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following

**ORDER**

Heard Mr.C.Damodar Reddy, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr.C.Ruthwik Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner/accused No.3 and Mr. Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

Petitioner herein is accused No.3 in Spl.S.C.No.38 of 2017 on the file of the learned Special Sessions Judge for Trial of Cases Under SCs/STs (POA) Act-cum-V Additional District and Sessions Judge, Adilabad. *Vide* the impugned Judgment dated 10.03.2022, the learned trial Court convicted the petitioner for the offences under Sections 120B, 307, 148 and 302 read with Section 149 of IPC and sentenced him to undergo life imprisonment.

*Vide* order dated 23.11.2023 in I.A.No.1 of 2023 in Crl.A.No.175 of 2022, this Court dismissed the first bail application filed by the petitioner herein on merits. This is the second bail application. There is no dispute with regard to the legal position that the petitioner can file successive bail applications. However, he has to show changed circumstances from the date of dismissal of the first bail application to the date of filing of the second bail application.

Mr.C.Damodar Reddy, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner, would contend that there are no specific overt acts against the petitioner. Petitioner is not the resident of PW.1's village. He is the resident of Mandamarri Mandal. He has nothing to do with the land disputes between the other accused and deceased No.1. Petitioner is not in village at the time of incident and none of the prosecution witnesses identified the petitioner, except the family members of the deceased and interested witnesses.

In the light of the said submissions, it is relevant to note that PW.3, who turned hostile, during his cross-examination by the accused, categorically admitted that except the petitioner/accused No.3 and accused

No.5, other accused did not attack on him. PW.3 is the injured witness, who deposed that the petitioner beat him with stick on his right hand and with the deposition of PW.3, petitioner can be convicted for the offence under Section 324 of IPC.

This Court considered all the aforesaid aspects, more particularly, the contentions of the petitioner herein while dismissing the earlier bail application. It is a double murder case. *Prima facie*, there are specific overt acts attributed against all the accused, including the petitioner herein. Petitioner herein failed to make out any case, more particularly, unchanged circumstances from the date of dismissal of the earlier bail application to the date of filing of the present bail application. Further, in view of the serious and grave offences committed by the petitioner, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and this application is liable to be dismissed and accordingly, it is dismissed.

SD/-MOHD.ISMAIL  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Sessions Judge for Trial of Cases under SCs/STs (POA) Act Cum V Additional District and Sessions Judge at Adilabad.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison Cherlapally.
3. One CC to SRI. C RUTHWIK REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. One spare copy

**HIGH COURT**

**KL, J  
and  
VRKR, J**

**DATED:17/11/2025**

**ORDER  
I.A.No.1 of 2024  
IN  
CRLA.No.175 of 2022**

**I.A.DISMISSED**

